Prepared for submission to JHEP

MPP-2015-267

Massless sunset diagrams in finite asymmetric volumes

F. Niedermayer[a] **and P. Weisz**[b]

[a] *Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics,*
Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Bern, Switzerland
[b] *Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, 80805 Munich, Germany*

E-mail: niedermayer@itp.unibe.ch, pew@mpp.mpg.de

Abstract: This paper discusses the methods and the results used in an accompanying paper describing the matching of effective chiral Lagrangians in dimensional and lattice regularizations. We present methods to compute 2-loop massless sunset diagrams in finite asymmetric volumes in the framework of these regularizations. We also consider 1-loop sums in both regularizations, extending the results of Hasenfratz and Leutwyler for the case of dimensional regularization and we introduce a new method to calculate precisely the expansion coefficients of the 1-loop lattice sums.

arXiv:1602.03159v2 [hep-lat] 18 Jun 2016

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Dimensional regularization at finite volume **2**
2.1 Massive propagator sums in DR 2
2.1.1 Sums with extra factor in the numerator 6
2.1.2 Keeping first order $D-d$ terms in $G_{r,1}$ 8

3 Massless propagator sums in dimensional regularization **9**
3.1 The free propagator with periodic bc in DR 12
3.1.1 Propagator in infinite volume, D-dimensions 12
3.1.2 Calculating $g(x)$ for general D 13
3.2 Evaluation of some 1-loop integrals using the representation in subsect. 3.1 15
3.2.1 $\int_x [G(x)]^2$ 15
3.2.2 $\int_x G(x)\ddot{G}(x)$ 19

4 Massless sunset diagram with DR **19**
4.1 Ψ_1 20
4.2 Ψ_2 20
4.3 Ψ_3 21
4.4 Ψ_4 21
4.5 Ψ_5 22
4.6 Ψ_6 and Ψ_7 23
4.7 The final result for Ψ 24
4.8 Checks 24
4.9 Other results 25

5 Dimensionally regularized integrals on a strip **26**
5.1 Calculation of the sunset diagram 28
5.1.1 $\overline{\Psi}_1$ 29
5.1.2 $\overline{\Psi}_2$ 29
5.1.3 $\overline{\Psi}_3$ 29
5.1.4 $\overline{\Psi}_4$ 30
5.1.5 $\overline{\Psi}_5$ 31
5.1.6 $\overline{\Psi}_6$ and $\overline{\Psi}_7$ 32
5.1.7 Final result for $\overline{\Psi}$ 32

6 Finite volume momentum sums with lattice regularization **32**
6.1 Some 1-loop momentum sums 33
6.1.1 Leading terms 34
6.2 Expansion coefficients 34
6.2.1 Lattice analogue of the theta function 35

6.2.2 Asymptotic behavior for $N \to \infty$ 37
6.2.3 Examples: I_{10}, I_{21} for $d > 2$ 39
6.2.4 Expansions for $d = 4$ 42
6.2.5 Expansions for $d = 3$ 44
6.2.6 Expansions for $d = 2$ 45
6.3 Some 2-loop momentum sums 47
6.3.1 Case $d = 2$ 48
6.3.2 Case $d = 3$ 48
6.3.3 Case $d = 4$ 49

A Derivation of formulae for $g(0)$ and $\ddot{g}(0)$ up to $\mathrm{O}(D-4)$ **50**

B Evaluation of Ψ for the massive case in DR **51**

C Some useful relations involving the lattice propagator **53**

D Modified Bessel function **54**

1 Introduction

In an accompanying paper [1] we have computed a free energy and the mass gap in the δ-regime in the framework of chiral perturbation theory without an explicit symmetry breaking term, in finite asymmetric volumes. The computation was done both in dimensional regularization (DR) and in the lattice regularization. Matching the results in two regularizations enables us to establish relations between the 4-derivative couplings appearing in these regularizations. The technical details are provided in the present paper.

In the computation of the free energy and the finite-volume mass gap we encounter one and two loop integrals over the volume. A class of 1-loop sums with dimensional regularization have been considered in detail by Hasenfratz and Leutwyler [2] in DR, we summarize some of their results and also add some more. The main part of the paper deals with the precise evaluation of the 2-loop sunset diagrams for both regularizations. For our purposes we only require diagrams with zero external momenta but the methods are more general. Massive sunset diagrams in finite volume have been treated in detail by Bijnens, Boström and Lähde [3, 4], but as far as we can tell their methods are not applicable for zero masses. In Appendix B we outline an alternative method of calculating sunset diagrams by introducing a mass, in addition to the finite volume. This setup allows to take the massless limit as well.

Finally in sect. 6 we consider 1- and 2-loop massless sums in asymmetric finite volumes with lattice regularization. For the 1-loop sums we introduce a new method to compute precisely the coefficients of their expansions in the lattice cutoff.

The motivation to consider lattice-regularized chiral perturbation theory is addressed in [1].

2 Dimensional regularization at finite volume

Our goal is to compute massless one and two loop diagrams in finite volume with periodic boundary conditions within the framework of dimensional regularization. As in [2] we start by considering diagrams in the massive theory.

The free massive propagator is

$$G(x,M) = \frac{1}{V}\sum_p \frac{\mathrm{e}^{ipx}}{p^2+M^2}\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where p runs over a d-dimensional momentum space infinite lattice

$$p = 2\pi(n_0/L_0,\ldots,n_{d_s}/L_{d_s})\,, \tag{2.2}$$

where $d_s = d-1$ and n_μ are integers and

$$V = \prod_{\mu=0}^{d_s} L_\mu\,. \tag{2.3}$$

The numerical evaluation of the graphs will be done for spatially cubic volumes $L_1 = \ldots = L_{d_s} \equiv L_s$, either for the hypercubic case $L_0 = L_s$ or for the elongated geometry $L_0 > L_s$.

We will dimensionally regularize by adding q extra compact dimensions of size $\widehat{L}$ and analytically continue the resulting loop formulae to $q = -2\epsilon$.

2.1 Massive propagator sums in DR

In appendices of their classic paper [2] Hasenfratz and Leutwyler considered the sums

$$G_r = \frac{1}{V_D}\sum_p H_r(p)\,, \tag{2.4}$$

$$H_r(p) = \Gamma(r)(p^2+M^2)^{-r}\,, \tag{2.5}$$

where p is now a $D = d+q$ dimensional vector and

$$V_D \equiv V\widehat{L}^q\,. \tag{2.6}$$

For convenience, in this subsection we reproduce some of their results, in particular those that we need in [1].

Invoking the identity

$$\frac{1}{V_D}\sum_p f(p) = \sum_w \widetilde{f}(w) \tag{2.7}$$

where $\widetilde{f}(x)$ is the Fourier transform of $f(p)$:

$$\widetilde{f}(x) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^D p}{(2\pi)^D}\,\mathrm{e}^{ipx} f(p)\,, \tag{2.8}$$

and the sum over w extends over the coordinate space lattice

$$w = (v_0 L_0,\ldots,v_{D-1}L_{D-1})\,, \tag{2.9}$$

where v_k are integers, one obtains

$$G_r = \sum_w \widetilde{H}_r(w)\,, \tag{2.10}$$

with

$$\widetilde{H}_r(x) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\lambda\, \lambda^{r-1}(4\pi\lambda)^{-D/2} \exp\left(-\lambda M^2 - \frac{x^2}{4\lambda}\right)\,. \tag{2.11}$$

In the sum over w the term $w = 0$ corresponds to the infinite volume limit

$$\widetilde{H}_r(0) = G_{r,\infty} = \Gamma(r) \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^D p}{(2\pi)^D}\,(p^2 + M^2)^{-r} = \frac{\Gamma(r - D/2)}{(4\pi)^{D/2}} M^{D-2r}\,. \tag{2.12}$$

In particular

$$G_{1,\infty} = \begin{cases} -\frac{M^{D-2}}{2\pi}\left[\frac{1}{D-2} + \overline{C} + \frac{1}{2}\right] + \mathrm{O}\,(D-2) & \text{for } D - 2 \sim 0\,, \\ -\frac{M}{4\pi} & \text{for } D = 3\,, \\ \frac{M^{D-2}}{8\pi^2}\left[\frac{1}{D-4} + \overline{C}\right] + \mathrm{O}\,(D-4) & \text{for } D - 4 \sim 0\,, \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

with

$$\overline{C} = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\ln(4\pi) - \gamma_E + 1\right]\,, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\gamma_E = -\Gamma'(1) = 0.577\ldots$ is the Euler constant.

It is useful to separate the infinite volume term to arrive at the representation

$$G_r = G_{r,\infty} + g_r + \mathrm{O}\,(D-d)\,, \tag{2.15}$$

$$g_r = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\lambda\, \lambda^{r-1}(4\pi\lambda)^{-d/2} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda M^2} \sum_{v \neq 0} \exp\left(-\sum_{\mu=0}^{d_s} \frac{v_\mu^2 L_\mu^2}{4\lambda}\right)\,. \tag{2.16}$$

In this decomposition the volume dependence is exclusively contained in the function g_r which is unambiguous for any integer value of D and is entire in r.

The dimensional regularization only affects the volume-independent part $G_{r,\infty}$ which contains poles at $D = 2r, 2r+2, \ldots$.

The sum occurring in the representation of g_r converges rapidly if the sides of the box are large compared to the Compton wavelength, $ML_\mu \gg 1$. If all $L_\mu \to \infty$ then $g_r \to 0$ exponentially. Note if one considers other limits e.g. the temperature to $T = 1/L_0$ to zero i.e. $L_0 \to \infty$ with $L_1, \ldots, L_{d_s}$ fixed, then g_r approaches a finite limit

$$\lim_{L_0 \to \infty} g_r^{(d)} = (4\pi)^{-1/2} g_{r-1/2}^{(d-1)}\,. \tag{2.17}$$

For $r = 0$ this relation reflects the fact that in the limit $T \to 0$ the partition function is dominated by the contribution from the ground state, the quantity $\ln \det D/2L_0$ approaching the Casimir energy associated with the d_s-dimensional box.

For the goal of considering the massless sums we need the properties of g_r in the limit $M \to 0$ where infrared singularities occur. Introducing

$$S(x) \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\pi n^2 x}\,, \quad (x > 0)\,, \tag{2.18}$$

(related to the Jacobi theta-function, defined in (3.52)), we have

$$g_r = \frac{1}{L^d}\left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi}\right)^r \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{r-d/2-1} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2 t}{4\pi}\right) \left[\prod_{\mu=0}^{d_s} S\left(\frac{\ell_\mu^2}{t}\right) - 1\right] , \tag{2.19}$$

where L is some reference scale,

$$\ell_\mu \equiv L_\mu / L\,, \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$z \equiv ML\,. \tag{2.21}$$

We will use the shorthand notation $\underline{\ell} = \{\ell_0, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{d_s}\}$ for the relative sizes in the physical dimensions and $\hat{\ell} = \widehat{L}/L = L_\alpha/L$ for $\alpha = d, \ldots, D-1$ for the extra dimensions.

The dependence on the auxiliary scale L of a given quantity (when the other variables are made dimensionless) is given by its dimension. Using this we will often use $L = L_s$ for the spatially cubic volume and denote $\ell \equiv \ell_0 = L_0/L_s$ and $\hat{\ell} = \widehat{L}/L_s$.

Since the product in (2.19) often appears below we also introduce the ancillary definition (with some abuse of notation)

$$\mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{\ell^2}{t}\right) \equiv \prod_{\mu=0}^{d-1} S\left(\frac{\ell_\mu^2}{t}\right) , \qquad \mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{t}{\ell^2}\right) \equiv \prod_{\mu=0}^{d-1} S\left(\frac{t}{\ell_\mu^2}\right) . \tag{2.22}$$

(For the general case of D dimensions we shall also use $\mathcal{S}_D(t/\ell^2)$ and $\mathcal{S}_D(\ell^2/t)$ defined analogously.)

Note that the sum in (2.18) converges very fast for $x > 1$. The function $S(x)$ satisfies the well known remarkable identity

$$S(x) = x^{-1/2} S(x^{-1})\,. \tag{2.23}$$

Using (2.18) for $x > 1$ and (2.23) for $x < 1$ one needs only a few terms in the corresponding sums to calculate $S(x)$ very precisely.

Using (2.23) one obtains the representation

$$g_r = \frac{1}{L^d}\left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi}\right)^r \left[A_r + \mathcal{V}^{-1} B_r - B_{r-d/2}\right] , \tag{2.24}$$

where

$$A_r = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{r-1} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2 t}{4\pi}\right) \left[\mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{t}{\ell^2}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{sub}} , \tag{2.25}$$

$$B_r = \int_1^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{r-1} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2 t}{4\pi}\right) , \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V} \equiv \prod_{\mu=0}^{d_s} \ell_\mu = V L^{-d}\,. \tag{2.27}$$

In (2.25) we introduced the notation $[\ldots]_{\rm sub}$ which will be used often below. It is defined by

$$[\Phi(t;\ldots)]_{\rm sub} = \Phi(t;\ldots) - \begin{cases} [\Phi(t;\ldots)]_0\,, & \text{for } 0<t<1\,,\\ [\Phi(t;\ldots)]_\infty\,, & \text{for } 1<t<\infty\,, \end{cases} \tag{2.28}$$

where $[\Phi(t;\ldots)]_0$ and $[\Phi(t;\ldots)]_\infty$ denote the leading asymptotic parts of $\Phi(t;\ldots)$ at $t\to 0$ and $t\to\infty$, respectively. According to (2.18) and (2.23) one has $[S(x)]_0 = x^{-1/2}$ and $[S(x)]_\infty = 1$. In particular, in (2.25) one has

$$\left[\mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{t}{\ell^2}\right)\right]_0 = \mathcal{V}\,t^{-d/2}\,, \qquad \left[\mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{t}{\ell^2}\right)\right]_\infty = 1\,. \tag{2.29}$$

The quantity A_r does not contain infrared singularities and has an expansion in M^2 of the form

$$A_r = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{z^2}{4\pi}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!}\alpha_{r+n}\,. \tag{2.30}$$

The expansion coefficients[1] depend on d and the ratios ℓ_μ:

$$\alpha_s = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{s-1}\left[\mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{t}{\ell^2}\right)\right]_{\rm sub}\,. \tag{2.31}$$

Some values for α_s for $\ell_\mu = 1$, $\forall\mu$ are given in table 1.

d	1	2	3	4
$\alpha_{-1/2}$	0.04719755120	0.1044122116	0.1750738214	0.2641535689
α_0	0.04619141793	0.1008796989	0.1667047726	0.2474072414
$\alpha_{1/2}$	0.04619141793	0.0997350800	0.1627025205	0.2379659789
α_1	0.04719755120	0.1008796989	0.1627025205	0.2349151988
$\alpha_{3/2}$	0.04929326270	0.1044122116	0.1667047726	0.2379659789
α_2	0.05265787558	0.1106437295	0.1750738214	0.2474072414

Table 1. Values for α_s for $\ell_\mu = 1$ $\forall\mu$.

Infrared divergences are contained in the incomplete Γ–function B_s, in the form of fractional powers of M^2:

$$B_s = \left(\frac{z^2}{4\pi}\right)^{-s}\Gamma(s) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\left(-\frac{z^2}{4\pi}\right)^n\frac{1}{n+s}\,. \tag{2.32}$$

The pole in the Γ–function at $r=-N$, $N\in\mathbb{N}$ is canceled by a pole occurring in the piece which is analytic in M. Merging the two singularities one obtains a logarithmic contribution

$$\begin{aligned} B_{-N} = {}& \frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{N!}\left(\frac{z^2}{4\pi}\right)^N\left\{\ln\left(\frac{z^2}{4\pi}\right) + \gamma_E - \sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{1}{n}\right\} \\ & + \sum_{n=0,n\neq N}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\left(-\frac{z^2}{4\pi}\right)^n\frac{1}{N-n}\,, \quad N=0,1,2,\ldots \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

[1] This expression is equivalent to the one given in [2] but has a more compact form.

For $z = 0$ and $s < 0$ one has

$$B_s|_{z=0} = -\frac{1}{s}\,, \qquad \text{for } s < 0\,. \tag{2.34}$$

The small M expansions of g_r for integer r can be obtained from that of g_0 using the recursion relations

$$g_{r+1} = -\mathrm{d}g_r/\mathrm{d}M^2\,. \tag{2.35}$$

For $d = 2$ the expansion of g_0 takes the form

$$g_0 = \frac{1}{L^2}\left[-2\mathcal{V}^{-1}\ln(z) - \frac{z^2}{2\pi}\ln(z) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\beta_n z^{2n}\right]\,, \tag{2.36}$$

$$\beta_0 = \alpha_0 - 1 + \mathcal{V}^{-1}\left\{\ln(4\pi) - \gamma_E\right\}\,, \tag{2.37}$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left[-\alpha_1 - \left\{-\ln(4\pi) + \gamma_E - 1\right\} + \mathcal{V}^{-1}\right]\,, \tag{2.38}$$

$$\beta_n = \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\right)^n\left[\alpha_n + \frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n\mathcal{V}}\right]\,, \quad n \geq 2\,, d = 2\,. \tag{2.39}$$

For $d = 3$:

$$g_0 = \frac{1}{L^3}\left[-2\mathcal{V}^{-1}\ln(z) - \frac{z^3}{6\pi} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\beta_n z^{2n}\right]\,, \tag{2.40}$$

$$\beta_0 = \alpha_0 - \frac{2}{3} + \mathcal{V}^{-1}\left\{\ln(4\pi) - \gamma_E\right\}\,, \tag{2.41}$$

$$\beta_n = \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\right)^n\left[\alpha_n + \frac{2}{2n-3} - \frac{1}{n\mathcal{V}}\right]\,, \quad n \neq 0\,, \quad d = 3\,. \tag{2.42}$$

For $d = 4$:

$$g_0 = \frac{1}{L^4}\left[-2\mathcal{V}^{-1}\ln(z) + \frac{z^4}{16\pi^2}\left\{\ln(z) - \frac{1}{4}\right\} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\beta_n z^{2n}\right]\,, \tag{2.43}$$

$$\beta_0 = \alpha_0 - \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{V}^{-1}\left\{\ln(4\pi) - \gamma_E\right\}\,, \tag{2.44}$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\left[\alpha_2 - \ln(4\pi) + \gamma_E - 1 - \frac{1}{2\mathcal{V}}\right]\,, \tag{2.45}$$

$$\beta_n = \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi}\right)^n\left[\alpha_n + \frac{1}{n-2} - \frac{1}{n\mathcal{V}}\right]\,, \quad n \neq 0, 2\,, \quad d = 4\,. \tag{2.46}$$

The table 2 gives results for the symmetric box $\ell_\mu = 1\ \forall\mu$ and table 3 for $\ell = 2$ for $d = 3, 4$.

2.1.1 Sums with extra factor in the numerator

Next we apply the methods of Hasenfratz and Leutwyler to the sums

$$G_{r,1} = \frac{1}{V_D}\sum_p p_\nu^2 H_r(p)\,, \ \text{ no sum over } \nu\,. \tag{2.47}$$

d	3	4
β_0	1.45384668796818338855	1.70121582349712182477
β_1	0.22578495944075803348	0.14046098554536575282
β_2	0.01060752889198424526	−0.02030477369161629693

Table 2. Values for β_r for $\ell_\mu = 1\ \forall \mu$ for $d = 3, 4$.

d	3	4
β_0	0.74461239033155890201	0.98194779750230477518
β_1	0.14370432528775141208	0.05911493648278131899
β_2	0.02021612362190113525	−0.01075957063969698115

Table 3. Values for β_r for $\ell_\mu = 1\,,\ \mu > 0$ and $\ell = 2\,.$

We have

$$G_{r,1} = G_{r,1,\infty} + g_{r,1} + \mathrm{O}\left(D - d\right)\,, \tag{2.48}$$

where for infinite volume:

$$G_{r,1,\infty} = \Gamma(r) \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^D p}{(2\pi)^D}\, p_\nu^2 (p^2 + M^2)^{-r} = \frac{\Gamma(r - 1 - D/2)}{2(4\pi)^{D/2}} M^{D-2r+2} = \frac{1}{2} G_{r-1,\infty}\,, \tag{2.49}$$

and

$$g_{r,1} = \frac{1}{2} g_{r-1} + \ell_\nu^2 h_r\,, \tag{2.50}$$

$$h_r = -\frac{1}{4} L^2 \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\lambda\, \lambda^{r-3} (4\pi\lambda)^{-d/2} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda M^2} \sum_v v_\nu^2 \exp\left(-\sum_{\mu=0}^{d_s} \frac{v_\mu^2 L_\mu^2}{4\lambda} \right)\,. \tag{2.51}$$

Denote the logarithmic derivative of $S(x)$ by

$$T(x) = \frac{S'(x)}{S(x)} = -\frac{\pi}{S(x)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n^2 \mathrm{e}^{-\pi n^2 x}\,, \tag{2.52}$$

satisfying the relation

$$T(x) = -\frac{1}{2x} - \frac{1}{x^2} T\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\,. \tag{2.53}$$

With this one has

$$h_r = \frac{1}{L^d} \left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi}\right)^{r-1} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{r-d/2-3} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2 t}{4\pi}\right) T\left(\frac{\ell_\nu^2}{t}\right) \mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{\ell^2}{t}\right)\,. \tag{2.54}$$

Putting the parts together we have

$$g_{r,1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{L^d} \left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi}\right)^{r-1} \left[-B_{r-1-d/2} + D_r \right]\,, \tag{2.55}$$

where D_r has the expansion in z:

$$D_r = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{z^2}{4\pi}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!}\gamma_{r+n}\,, \tag{2.56}$$

with

$$\gamma_s = -\frac{2}{\ell_\nu^2 \mathcal{V}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{s-1} \left[T\left(\frac{t}{\ell_\nu^2}\right) \mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{t}{\ell^2}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{sub}}\,. \tag{2.57}$$

For the definition of $[\ldots]_{\mathrm{sub}}$ see (2.28). Note that $[T(x)]_0 = -1/(2x)$ and $[T(x)]_\infty = 0$.

For the totally symmetric box $\ell_\mu = 1\,,\ \forall\,\mu$ we have

$$\gamma_s = \frac{2}{d}(s-1)\alpha_{s-1}\,, \qquad \ell = 1\,. \tag{2.58}$$

We then have for $D \sim 3$:

$$G_{2,1} = \frac{1}{8\pi L}\left[\gamma_2 - 2\right] + \mathrm{O}\left(D-3\right) + \mathrm{O}\left(M\right)\,, \tag{2.59}$$

and for $D \sim 4$:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2,1} = {} & \frac{1}{8\pi L^2}\left[\gamma_2 - 1\right] \\ & + \frac{M^2}{16\pi^2}\left[-\ln L + \frac{1}{D-4} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_3\right] + \mathrm{O}\left(D-4\right) + \mathrm{O}\left(M^4\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.60}$$

(note γ_2 depends on d).

2.1.2 Keeping first order $D-d$ terms in $G_{r,1}$

In our computation [1] we need to keep in consideration order $q = D-d$ terms in $G_{r,1}$:

$$G_{r,1} = G_{r,1,\infty} + \mathcal{G}_{r,1}\,, \tag{2.61}$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_{r,1} = \frac{1}{L^D}\left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi}\right)^{r-1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}_r + \ell_\nu^2 \overline{\mathcal{H}}_r\right]\,, \tag{2.62}$$

with

$$\mathcal{G}_r = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{r-D/2-2} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2 t}{4\pi}\right)\left[S\left(\frac{\hat{\ell}^2}{t}\right)^q \mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{\ell^2}{t}\right) - 1\right]\,, \tag{2.63}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}_r = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{r-D/2-3} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2 t}{4\pi}\right) S\left(\frac{\hat{\ell}^2}{t}\right)^q T\left(\frac{\ell_\nu^2}{t}\right) \mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{\ell^2}{t}\right)\,. \tag{2.64}$$

So

$$\mathcal{G}_{r,1} = (1 - q\ln L) g_{r,1}(\underline{\ell}) + q\frac{1}{L^d}\left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi}\right)^{r-1} g_{r,1}^{(1)}(\underline{\ell}, \hat{\ell}) + \mathrm{O}\left(q^2\right)\,, \tag{2.65}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} g_{r,1}^{(1)} = {} & -\frac{1}{\ell_\nu^2 \mathcal{V}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{r-1} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2 t}{4\pi}\right)\left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\ell}} S\left(\frac{t}{\hat{\ell}^2}\right)\right) T\left(\frac{t}{\ell_\nu^2}\right) \mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{t}{\ell^2}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{sub}} \\ & + \frac{1}{4}\int_1^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{r-d/2-2} \ln(t) \exp\left(-\frac{z^2 t}{4\pi}\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.66}$$

For the expression appearing here one has $[\ldots]_0 = \frac{1}{4}\ell_\nu^2 \mathcal{V}\, t^{-d/2-1}\ln(t)$ and $[\ldots]_\infty = 0$.

We introduce

$$\rho_r(\underline{\ell},\hat{\ell}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{r-1}\left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\ell}}S\left(\frac{t}{\hat{\ell}^2}\right)\right)\mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{t}{\ell^2}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{sub}}, \tag{2.67}$$

$$\tau_r(\underline{\ell},\hat{\ell}) = -\frac{1}{\ell_\nu^2\mathcal{V}}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{r-1}\left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\ell}}S\left(\frac{t}{\hat{\ell}^2}\right)\right)T\left(\frac{t}{\ell_\nu^2}\right)\mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{t}{\ell^2}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{sub}}. \tag{2.68}$$

They have a finite limit for $\hat{\ell}\to\infty$

$$\rho_r(\underline{\ell},\infty) = -\frac{1}{2\mathcal{V}}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{r-1}\ln(t)\left[\mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{t}{\ell^2}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{sub}}, \tag{2.69}$$

$$\tau_r(\underline{\ell},\infty) = \frac{1}{2\ell_\nu^2\mathcal{V}}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\, t^{r-1}\ln(t)\left[T\left(\frac{t}{\ell_\nu^2}\right)\mathcal{S}_d\left(\frac{t}{\ell^2}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{sub}}. \tag{2.70}$$

Using these for $z=0$ and $r < d/2+1$ one obtains

$$g^{(1)}_{r,1}\Big|_{z=0} = \tau_r(\underline{\ell},\hat{\ell}) + \frac{1}{(d+2-2r)^2}. \tag{2.71}$$

In particular, for the case $r=1$ (cf. (2.55), (2.56))

$$g_{1,1}|_{z=0} = \frac{1}{2L^d}\left[\gamma_1 - \frac{2}{d}\right], \tag{2.72}$$

and for $r=1, d=4$:[2]

$$g^{(1)}_{1,1}\Big|_{z=0,d=4} \equiv \mathcal{W}_1(\underline{\ell},\hat{\ell}) = \tau_1(\underline{\ell},\hat{\ell})\Big|_{d=4} + \frac{1}{16}. \tag{2.73}$$

For $\hat{\ell}\to\infty$ one obtains

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\underline{\ell},\infty) = \tau_1(\underline{\ell},\infty)|_{d=4} + \frac{1}{16}. \tag{2.74}$$

3 Massless propagator sums in dimensional regularization

We are now in a position to give results for dimensionally regularized momentum sums

$$\overline{I}_{nm} \equiv \frac{1}{V_D}{\sum_p}' \frac{p_0^{2m}}{(p^2)^n}, \tag{3.1}$$

which can be re-expressed in terms of the massless propagator

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{V_D}{\sum_p}' \frac{\mathrm{e}^{ipx}}{p^2}, \tag{3.2}$$

where the sum is over momenta $p = 2\pi(n_0/L_0,\ldots,n_{D-1}/L_{D-1})$, $n_k\in\mathbb{Z}$, and the prime on the sum means that the zero momentum is omitted: $\sum_p' = \sum_{p\neq 0}$.

[2] The notation $\mathcal{W}_1$ was used in [1].

We have e.g.[3]

$$\overline{I}_{00} = -\frac{1}{V_D}\,, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\overline{I}_{10} = G(0)\,, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\overline{I}_{20} = \int_x [G(x)]^2\,, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\overline{I}_{21} = -\int_x G(x)\ddot{G}(x)\,, \tag{3.6}$$

where we have introduced the notation $\ddot{G}(x) = \partial^2 G(x)/\partial x_0^2$.

The $\overline{I}_{nm}$ can be obtained by taking the limit of zero mass of the massive sums considered in the previous subsections. Here we will only consider the cases $m = 0, 1$ and for these we have[4]

$$\overline{I}_{n0} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \lim_{M\to 0} \left[G_n - \frac{\Gamma(n)}{M^{2n} V_D} \right]\,, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\overline{I}_{n1} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \lim_{M\to 0} G_{n,1}\,. \tag{3.8}$$

Now for $d = 2$:

$$G_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln(M) - \frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\frac{1}{D-2} + \overline{C} + \frac{1}{2}\right] + g_1 + \mathrm{O}\,(D-2)\,, \tag{3.9}$$

$$G_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi M^2} + g_2\,, \qquad d = 2\,. \tag{3.10}$$

For $d = 3$:

$$G_1 = -\frac{M}{4\pi} + g_1\,, \tag{3.11}$$

$$G_2 = \frac{1}{8\pi M} + g_2\,, \qquad d = 3\,, \tag{3.12}$$

and for $d = 4$:

$$G_1 = \frac{M^2}{8\pi^2}\left(\ln M + \frac{1}{D-4} + \overline{C}\right) + g_1 + \mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\,, \tag{3.13}$$

$$G_2 = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left(\ln M + \frac{1}{D-4} + \overline{C} + \frac{1}{2}\right) + g_2 + \mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\,. \tag{3.14}$$

The behavior of g_1, g_2 for $M \to 0$ is readily extracted from (2.35) and the representations (2.36),(2.40) and (2.43).

So for the corresponding massless sums we obtain for $d = 2$:

[3] One has $-\Box G(x) = \delta^{(D)}(x) - 1/V_D$ hence $\overline{I}_{00} = -\Box G(0) = -1/V_D$ where we used that $\delta^{(D)}(0) = 0$ in DR.

[4] The subtraction in (3.7) is the removal of singularity due to the zero mode for $M \to 0$. In (3.8) the zero mode is not singular in the massless limit.

$$\overline{I}_{10} = -\beta_1 - \frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\frac{1}{D-2} + \overline{C} - \ln L + \mathrm{O}\,(D-2)\right] \tag{3.15}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\frac{1}{D-2} - \ln L - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{V}} + \mathrm{O}\,(D-2)\right], \tag{3.16}$$

$$\overline{I}_{20} = L^2\beta_2\,, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\overline{I}_{21} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\left[\frac{1}{D-2} - \ln L - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_2 + \mathrm{O}\,(D-2)\right], \tag{3.18}$$

$$\overline{I}_{31} = \frac{L^2}{64\pi^2}\left[\gamma_3 + 1\right]. \tag{3.19}$$

For $D = 3$:

$$\overline{I}_{10} = -\beta_1 L^{-1}\,, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\overline{I}_{20} = \beta_2 L\,, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\overline{I}_{21} = \frac{1}{8\pi L}\left(\gamma_2 - 2\right), \tag{3.22}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3L}\beta_1 \text{ for } \ell_\mu = 1\,\forall\mu\,, \tag{3.23}$$

$$\overline{I}_{31} = \frac{L}{64\pi^2}\left[\gamma_3 + 2\right]. \tag{3.24}$$

Finally for four dimensions:

$$\overline{I}_{10} = -\beta_1 L^{-2}\,, \tag{3.25}$$

$$\overline{I}_{20} = \beta_2 + \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left[\ln L - \frac{1}{D-4} - \overline{C}\right], \quad D \sim 4\,, \tag{3.26}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left[\ln L - \frac{1}{D-4}\right] + \overline{g}_2 + \mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\,, \tag{3.27}$$

$$\overline{I}_{21} = \frac{1}{8\pi L^2}\left(\gamma_2 - 1\right), \tag{3.28}$$

$$\overline{I}_{31} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2}\left[\ln L - \frac{1}{D-4} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_3\right] + \mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\,, \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$\overline{g}_2 = \beta_2 - \frac{\overline{C}}{8\pi^2} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\left[\alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2\mathcal{V}}\right]. \tag{3.30}$$

For $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = \ell_3 = 1$ and $\ell_0 = \ell$ we obtain

$$\overline{g}_2 = -0.0015995623298662568192\,, \qquad \text{for } \ell = 1\,, \tag{3.31}$$

$$\overline{g}_2 = \quad 0.0079456407220530589562\,, \qquad \text{for } \ell = 2\,. \tag{3.32}$$

For the totally symmetric volume we have for $d = 4$:

$$\overline{I}_{21} = \frac{1}{4}\overline{I}_{10} = -\frac{1}{4L^2}\beta_1\,, \qquad \text{for } \ell = 1\,, \tag{3.33}$$

$$\overline{I}_{31} = \frac{1}{D}\overline{I}_{20} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2}\left[\ln L - \frac{1}{D-4} + \frac{1}{4}\right] + \frac{1}{4}\overline{g}_2 + \mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\,, \quad \text{for } \ell = 1\,. \tag{3.34}$$

So for the symmetric case we have

$$\gamma_2 = 1 - 2\pi\beta_1\,, \qquad \text{for } \ell = 1\,, \tag{3.35}$$

$$\gamma_3 = 16\pi^2\overline{g}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\,, \qquad \text{for } \ell = 1\,. \tag{3.36}$$

and this has been checked numerically. Some values of γ_s are given in table 4.[5]

ℓ	γ_1	γ_2	γ_3
3.0	1.5084066631353647	4.4458864316201319	23.1870844937351901
2.0	1.1748067357894556	2.3510031738406602	6.5174542845883118
1.0	0	0.1174575993893936	0.2474072414293639
0.5	−10.0254579887014333	−3.1877267490551846	−1.3395264586420596
0.25	−167.9412483865704613	−15.7551608044733235	−2.7263973004934400

Table 4. Values for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ for $d = 4$, $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = \ell_3 = 1$ and $\ell_0 = \ell$, for different values of ℓ.

3.1 The free propagator with periodic bc in DR

Again we consider a D dimensional volume with $L_0 \equiv L_t$, $L_1 = \cdots = L_{d-1} \equiv L_s$, and $L_d = \ldots = L_{D-1} \equiv \widehat{L}$ with periodic boundary conditions in each direction.

The goal of this section is to give the analytic basis for writing efficient programs for $G(x)$ for the numerical evaluation of classes of Feynman diagrams in coordinate space. For this purpose we find it convenient to write the finite volume propagator for the massless case, with the zero mode subtracted as

$$G(x) = \Delta(x) + g(x)\,, \tag{3.37}$$

where $\Delta(x)$ is the infinite volume propagator and the finite volume piece $g(x)$ will be considered in detail in subsect. 3.1.2. Note that $G(x)$ satisfies the periodic boundary conditions, while $\Delta(x)$ and $g(x)$ do not. The singularity of $G(x)$ is given entirely by $\Delta(x)$, accordingly $g(x)$ is a smooth function.

3.1.1 Propagator in infinite volume, D-dimensions

For the infinite volume propagator one has

$$\Delta(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{(4\pi\lambda)^{D/2}} \mathrm{e}^{-x^2/(4\lambda)} = A_D r^{2-D}\,, \tag{3.38}$$

where $r = |x|$ and

$$A_D = \frac{\Gamma(D/2-1)}{4\pi^{D/2}}\,. \tag{3.39}$$

This is related to the area of the unit sphere

$$\Omega_D = \frac{2\pi^{D/2}}{\Gamma(D/2)}\,, \tag{3.40}$$

[5] Due to the subtraction term $1/t^3$ on the interval $t \in [0,1]$ in (2.57) one has $\gamma_1 \sim -1/(2\ell^4)$ when $\ell \ll 1$.

$$(D-2)A_D\Omega_D = 1\,. \tag{3.41}$$

The first and second "time" derivatives over $t = x_0$ are given by

$$\dot{\Delta}(x) = -(D-2)A_D\frac{t}{r^D}\,, \tag{3.42}$$

$$\ddot{\Delta}(x) = (D-2)A_D\frac{Dt^2 - r^2}{r^{D+2}}\,. \tag{3.43}$$

In particular for $D = 4$ one has $\Omega_4 = 2\pi^2$ and

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r^2}\,, \tag{3.44}$$

$$\dot{\Delta}(x) = -\frac{t}{2\pi^2 r^4}\,, \tag{3.45}$$

$$\ddot{\Delta}(x) = \frac{4t^2 - r^2}{2\pi^2 r^6}\,. \tag{3.46}$$

Note that by definition (by analytic continuation from $D < 2$) in DR one has $\Delta(0) = 0$.

3.1.2 Calculating $g(x)$ for general D

We start with the representation

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{V_D}{\sum_p}' \,\mathrm{e}^{ipx}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\lambda\,\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda p^2}\,. \tag{3.47}$$

Then following [2] we split the region according to

$$G(x) = \int_0^\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{(4\pi\lambda)^{D/2}}\sum_v \mathrm{e}^{-(x+w)^2/(4\lambda)} - \frac{\mu}{V_D} + \frac{1}{V_D}{\sum_p}'\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{ipx-\mu p^2}}{p^2}\,, \tag{3.48}$$

where we have used the Poisson summation formula in the form

$$\frac{1}{V_D}\sum_p \mathrm{e}^{ipx-\lambda p^2} = \frac{1}{(4\pi\lambda)^{D/2}}\sum_v \mathrm{e}^{-(x+w)^2/(4\lambda)}\,, \tag{3.49}$$

where

$$w = (v_0 L_0, \ldots, v_{D-1}L_{D-1})\,, \quad v_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}\,. \tag{3.50}$$

For $D = 4$ this gives

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) = &-\frac{1}{4\pi^2 x^2}\left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-x^2/(4\mu)}\right) + {\sum_v}'\,\frac{1}{4\pi^2(x+w)^2}\mathrm{e}^{-(x+w)^2/(4\mu)} \\ &-\frac{\mu}{V_D} + \frac{1}{V_D}{\sum_p}'\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{ipx-\mu p^2}}{p^2}\,. \qquad (D=4) \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

Taking $\mu = \alpha L^2/(4\pi)$ with some length scale $L \approx L_s \approx L_t$ and $\alpha \approx 1$ only a few terms are needed in the sums. Of course, the final result does not depend on α.

It is convenient to use the Jacobi theta function

$$\begin{aligned} S(u,z) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\pi u(n+z)^2} \\ &= u^{-1/2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\pi n^2/u} \cos(2\pi n z)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

The first sum above converges quickly for $1 \le u$ while the second for $0 < u < 1$. One has

$$S(u,z) = \begin{cases} u^{-1/2} + \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\pi/u}\right)\,, & \text{for } u \to 0\,. \\ \mathrm{e}^{-\pi z^2 u} + \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\pi u/4}\right)\,, & \text{for } u \to \infty\,, |z| \le 1/2\,. \end{cases} \tag{3.53}$$

Further one has

$$S(u,0) = S(u)\,, \qquad \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z\, S(u,z) = u^{-1/2}\,. \tag{3.54}$$

With this one obtains (using the arbitrary scale L) the following representation for $g(x)$ suitable for numerical evaluation:

$$g(x)L^{D-2} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}u \left\{ u^{-D/2} \prod_{\mu=0}^{D-1} S\left(\frac{\ell_\mu^2}{u}, \frac{x_\mu}{L_\mu}\right) - u^{-D/2}\mathrm{e}^{-\pi x^2/(L^2 u)} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_D} \right\} \tag{3.55}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(0)L^{D-2} &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}u \left\{ u^{-D/2}\mathcal{S}_D\left(\frac{\ell^2}{u}\right) - u^{-D/2} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_D} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}u \left\{ \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_D}\mathcal{S}_D\left(\frac{u}{\ell^2}\right) - u^{-D/2} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_D} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

In our computations we will need the expansion of $g(0)$ and $\partial_\nu^2 g(0)$ in $q = D - d$ up to first order:

$$g(0)L^{D-2} = g_0(0) + q g_1(0) + \mathrm{O}\left(q^2\right)\,, \tag{3.57}$$

$$\partial_\nu^2 g(0) L^D = \partial_\nu^2 g_0(0) + q\partial_\nu^2 g_1(0) + \mathrm{O}\left(q^2\right)\,. \tag{3.58}$$

Using (2.31) and (2.67) one gets for $d > 2$

$$g_0(0) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left[\alpha_1(\underline{\ell}) - \frac{2}{d-2} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}}\right]\,, \tag{3.59}$$

$$g_1(0) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left[\rho_1(\underline{\ell}, \hat{\ell}) + \frac{2}{(d-2)^2} + \frac{\ln(\hat{\ell})}{\mathcal{V}}\right]\,. \tag{3.60}$$

Some values of $g_0(0), g_1(0)$ for $d = 4$ are given in table 5. For $d = 4$, $L_t \gg L_s$ one has $g(0)L_s^2 = -0.2257849591 + L_t/(12L_s)$, and $g(t,\underline{x})$ decreases exponentially fast with t until $g(t = L_t/2, \underline{0}) = -\pi^2(L_s/L_t)^2 + L_t/(12L_s)$.

For the double derivatives one gets similarly (cf. Appendix A)

$$\partial_\nu^2 g_0(0) = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1(\underline{\ell}) + \frac{1}{d}\,, \tag{3.61}$$

$$\partial_\nu^2 g_1(0) = -\tau_1(\underline{\ell}, \hat{\ell}) - \frac{1}{d^2}\,. \tag{3.62}$$

Some values of $\partial_\nu^2 g_0(0)\,, \partial_\nu^2 g_1(0)$ for $d = 4$ obtained from (3.61), and (3.62) are given in tables 6, 7 at different ν, ℓ and $\hat{\ell}$. Here $\nu = 0, 1, x$ denotes the time, one of the spatial coordinates, and one of the $D-4$ auxiliary coordinates, respectively. The coefficients satisfy the relations (3.64), (3.65). For large ℓ one has $\partial_0^2 g_0(0,\ell) = \partial_0^2 g_0(0,\infty) + 1/\ell$, up to an exponentially small correction.

Since $g(x)$ satisfies the relation $\Box g(x) = 1/V_D$, one obtains for $d = 4$,

$$\partial_0^2 g(0) + 3\partial_1^2 g(0) + (D-4)\partial_x^2 g(0) = \frac{1}{\ell\,\hat{\ell}^{D-4}}\,. \tag{3.63}$$

Expanding in $D-4$ this leads to

$$\partial_0^2 g_0(0) + 3\partial_1^2 g_0(0) = \frac{1}{\ell}\,, \tag{3.64}$$

$$\partial_0^2 g_1(0) + 3\partial_1^2 g_1(0) + \partial_x^2 g_0(0) = -\frac{\ln\hat{\ell}}{\ell}\,. \tag{3.65}$$

The values given in table 6, 7 satisfy these relations. It turns out (as expected) that $\partial_1^2 g_0(0;\ell)$ approaches the $\ell \to \infty$ limit very fast.

In $D = 4$ one has for small x

$$g(x) = g(0) + \frac{1}{6}\left(\ddot{g}(0) - \frac{1}{4\ell}\right)(4t^2 - x^2) + \frac{1}{8\ell}x^2 + \mathrm{O}\left(x^4\right)\,. \tag{3.66}$$

3.2 Evaluation of some 1-loop integrals using the representation in subsect. 3.1

As an illustration of the coordinate method that we will use to compute the sunset diagrams in sect. 4, we first apply them to the computation of $\int_x G(x)^2$ for $d = 4$. The latter was already treated in [2] using the momentum-space representation and the result presented in (3.26). We evaluate this Feynman graph in position-space using the decomposition $G(x) = \Delta(x) + g(x)$ and writing the result as a sum of different terms, each of which is calculated using appropriate methods, e.g. using subtraction, splitting the integration domain, etc. Comparing the result with the momentum space result of [2] is useful as a test of some subroutines that were used for numerical evaluation of the sunset diagram treated in sect. 4.

3.2.1 $\int_x [G(x)]^2$

We start (taking $L_s = 1$) by separating the cube $V_0 = [-1/2, 1/2]^4 \times [-\hat{\ell}/2, \hat{\ell}/2]^{D-4}$ from the total volume $V = [-\ell/2, \ell/2] \times [-1/2, 1/2]^3 \times [-\hat{\ell}/2, \hat{\ell}/2]^{D-4}$. The splitting is illustrated in fig. 3.2.1. Further we decompose

$$\int_V [G(x)]^2 = \sum_{r=1}^{5} \Sigma_r\,, \tag{3.67}$$

ℓ	$\hat{\ell}$	$g_0(0)$	$g_1(0)$
1	1	-0.140460985545365753	0.04602401621995892
1	2		0.17329328071528666
1	3		0.38989530371165459
1	4		0.69314718058471526
1	10		4.33216987849965818
1	15		9.74738222662423091
2	1	-0.059114936482781319	0.04524519886669500
2	2		0.09156830194292184
2	3		0.19876546078660153
2	4		0.35033070353224446
2	10		2.16983884163206161
2	15		4.87744501569431526
3		0.0242150467817175	
4		0.1075483739041890	
10		0.6075483738925662	
20		1.4408817072258595	

Table 5. Numerical values of $g_0(0;\ell), g_1(0;\ell,\hat{\ell})$ for $d=4$ at different values of ℓ and $\hat{\ell}$. For large ℓ one has $g^{(d=4)}(0;\ell) = g^{(d=3)}(0;1) + \ell/12$, where $g^{(d=3)}(0;\ell=1) = -0.22578495944$.

with

$$\Sigma_1 = \int_{V_0} [\Delta(x)]^2 \ , \quad \Sigma_2 = 2g(0) \int_{V_0} \Delta(x) \, , \quad \Sigma_3 = 2 \int_{V_0} \Delta(x) \left[g(x) - g(0) \right] \ ,$$
$$\Sigma_4 = \int_{V_0} [g(x)]^2 \ , \quad \Sigma_5 = \int_{V \setminus V_0} [G(x)]^2 \ . \tag{3.68}$$



Figure 1. Splitting the regions of integration for the torus of volume $V = L_t \times L_s^{d_s} \times \hat{L}^q$. From V one cuts out the hypercube V_0 and within this a $D = d + q$ dimensional sphere S with the radius ρL_s. The singularity in D appears only in the integral over S, hence in the integrals over $V_0 \setminus S$ and $V \setminus V_0$ are evaluated in d dimensions. In the figure the longest direction is L_t, the other $D-1$ dimensions L_μ are symbolized by the vertical extent of the box.

To separate the divergent terms we will also need integrals over the d-dimensional sphere S with radius ρ, (which will be taken to be $1/2$ in the actual numerical calculations)

ν	ℓ	$\hat{\ell}$	$\partial_\nu^2 g_0(0;\ell)$	$\partial_\nu^2 g_1(0;\ell,\hat{\ell})$
0	1	1	0.25	-0.0625
0	1	2		-0.03163874689457073
0	1	3		-0.03157423948306426
0	1	15		-0.03157409482132951
0	2	1	-0.33740336789472781	-0.10189378657955458
0	2	2		0.08549134113439395
0	2	3		0.09641825496707322
0	2	15		0.09704905965741384
0	3		-0.5042033315676696	
0	4		-0.5875369102375624	
0	10		-0.7375369106960411	
0	20		-0.7875369106959981	
0	∞	∞	-0.83753691069608	
1	1	1	0.25	-0.0625
1	1	2		-0.03163874689457073
1	1	3		-0.03157423948306426
1	1	15		-0.03157409482132951
1	2	1	0.27913445596490927	-0.05908022312845156
1	2	2		-0.03155385450654626
1	2	3		-0.03150918768108555
1	2	15		-0.03150910214106698
1	3		0.279178888300334	
1	4		0.279178970079188	
1	∞		0.279178970232028	

Table 6. Numerical values of $\partial_\nu^2 g_0(0;\ell)$, $\partial_\nu^2 g_1(0;\ell,\hat{\ell})$ for $\nu = 0, 1$.

as well as integrals over $V_0 \backslash S$.

$$\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_1^{(a)} + \Sigma_1^{(b)} \,, \tag{3.69}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1^{(a)} &= \int_S [\Delta(x)]^2 = A_D^2 \int_0^\rho \frac{\Omega_D r^{D-1} \mathrm{d}r}{r^{2D-4}} = -\frac{\Gamma(D/2-1)\,\rho^{4-D}}{4\pi^{D/2}(D-2)(D-4)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left[\frac{1}{D-4} - \ln\rho - \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\gamma_E+\ln\pi\right)\right] + \dots \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2(D-4)} + 0.00845810996666960998 + \mathrm{O}\left(D-4\right) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.70}$$

with $\rho = 1/2$. The other contribution can be computed numerically to high precision:

$$\Sigma_1^{(b)} = \int_{V_0\backslash S} [\Delta(x)]^2 = 0.003272085168451168 \,, \quad (\rho = \frac{1}{2} \,, d = 4) \,. \tag{3.71}$$

ν	ℓ	$\hat{\ell}$	$\partial_\nu^2 g_0(0;\ell)$	$\partial_\nu^2 g_1(0;\ell,\hat{\ell})$
x	1	1	0.25	-0.0625
x	1	2	-0.56659219298166237	0.17118801988367523
x	1	3	-0.97231532913191441	0.53453238828804972
x	1	4	-1.25998268327401261	0.89196426717182040
x	1	10	-1.91028900870890396	2.58200729545875239
x	1	15	-1.92086060450589695	3.59782618606441357
x	2	1	0.27913445596490927	-0.05908022312845156
x	2	2	-0.33740336789472781	0.08549134113439395
x	2	3	-0.55119683545590228	0.27446576838970181
x	2	4	-0.69562959457825228	0.45372865145015078
x	2	10	-1.02081444723100106	1.29878409706335203
x	2	15	-1.02610024512982040	1.80669354236667127

Table 7. Numerical values of $\partial_\nu^2 g_0(0;\ell)$, $\partial_\nu^2 g_1(0;\ell,\hat{\ell})$ for the second derivative taken along one of the "extra" dimensions.

So adding the two contributions we obtain

$$\Sigma_1 = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2(D-4)} + 0.011730195135121 + \mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\ . \tag{3.72}$$

Numerical integration gives

$$\int_{V_0} \Delta(x) = 0.1085872819235967\,, \quad (d=4)\,. \tag{3.73}$$

The other terms $\Sigma_3, \Sigma_4, \Sigma_5$ can also be computed precisely for $d = 4$ for arbitrary ℓ. Finally one gets (restoring the dimensions)

$$\int_V [G(x)]^2 = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left\{\frac{1}{D-4} - \ln L\right\} + \Sigma(\ell) + \mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\ . \tag{3.74}$$

Values for $\Sigma(\ell)$ and Σ_i for $\ell = 1, \ell = 2$ are given in table 8. We should have $\Sigma(\ell) = \overline{g}_2$ with $\overline{g}_2$ defined in (3.30). The $\ell = 1$ result agrees with Hasenfratz and Leutwyler [2] (cf. their eqs. (C.5), (A.17)) also in (3.31) and for $\ell = 2$ in (3.32).

integral	$\ell = 1$	$\ell = 2$
Σ_2	-0.030504553273361740	-0.012838260547502574
Σ_3	0.005284317053948634	0.002330969017104402
Σ_4	0.01189047875442587	0.002457080245220858
Σ_5	0	0.004265656872109587
Σ	$-0.0015995623298662+$	0.0079456407220533

Table 8. Values for Σ_i and Σ for $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 2$.

3.2.2 $\int_x G(x)\ddot{G}(x)$

Next we compute $\int G\ddot{G}$ in a similar way:

$$\int_V G(x)\ddot{G}(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{7} \Sigma'_r \,, \tag{3.75}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Sigma'_1 = \int_{V_0} \Delta(x)\ddot{\Delta}(x)\,, \qquad \Sigma'_2 = \ddot{g}(0)\int_{V_0}\Delta(x)\,, \qquad \Sigma'_3 = \int_{V_0}\Delta(x)\left[\ddot{g}(x)-\ddot{g}(0)\right]\,,\\
&\Sigma'_4 = g(0)\int_{V_0}\ddot{\Delta}(x)\,, \qquad \Sigma'_5 = \int_{V_0}\left[g(x)-g(0)\right]\ddot{\Delta}(x)\,, \qquad \Sigma'_6 = \int_{V_0} g(x)\ddot{g}(x)\,,\\
&\Sigma'_7 = \int_{V\setminus V_0} G(x)\ddot{G}(x)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.76}$$

Now $\Sigma'_1 = 0$ since it is proportional to $\Delta(0)$ (which is zero in DR), and

$$\Sigma'_4 = -g(0)/4 + \mathrm{O}\left(D-4\right)\,. \tag{3.77}$$

The others need numerical evaluation (note the integral appearing in Σ'_2 is given in (3.73)). Adding the contributions we get the desired result

$$\int_V G(x)\ddot{G}(x) = \frac{1}{L^2}\Sigma'(\ell) + \mathrm{O}\left(D-4\right)\,. \tag{3.78}$$

Numerical values for $\ell = 1, 2$ are given in table 9. We should find agreement with (3.28) i.e. $\Sigma'(\ell) = -\frac{1}{8\pi}\left(\gamma_2 - 1\right)$. The value for $\ell = 1$ agrees with $\Sigma'(1) = -g(0)_{\ell=1}/4$[6], and for $\ell = 2$ with the value obtained from table 4 to 10 significant digits.

integral	$\ell = 1$	$\ell = 2$
Σ'_2	0.027146820480899175	−0.036637714631555824279
Σ'_3	0	0.004861055079412517
Σ'_4	0.035115246386341438250	0.014778734120695329750
Σ'_5	0	−0.01377640717436285
Σ'_6	−0.027146820480899175	0.00776222484407871
Σ'_7	0	−0.03074260054253895
Σ'	0.0351152463863414	−0.0537547083042711

Table 9. Values for Σ'_i and Σ' for $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 2$.

4 Massless sunset diagram with DR

In this section we shall calculate the dimensionless quantity[7]

$$\Psi = -L^{2D-4}\overline{W} = L^{2D-4}\int_V \mathrm{d}^D x G(x)^2\ddot{G}(x)\,, \tag{4.1}$$

[6]Note for $\ell = 1$ we have $\Sigma'_6 = \frac{1}{4}\int_{V_0} g(x) = -\frac{1}{4}\int_{V_0}\Delta(x)$

[7]The notation $\overline{W}$ was used in eq. (3.47) of [1].

at $D \sim d = 4$. Below we put $L = L_s = 1$ for simplicity. Inserting $G(x) = \Delta(x) + g(x)$ one gets seven terms

$$\Psi = \sum_{r=1}^{7} \Psi_r \,, \tag{4.2}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_1 = \int_{V_0} g(x)^2 \ddot{g}(x) \,, \qquad \Psi_2 = \int_{V_0} g(x)^2 \ddot{\Delta}(x) \,, \qquad \Psi_3 = 2\int_{V_0} \Delta(x) g(x) \ddot{g}(x) \,, \\
&\Psi_4 = \int_{V_0} \Delta(x)^2 \ddot{g}(x) \,, \qquad \Psi_5 = 2\int_{V_0} \Delta(x) g(x) \ddot{\Delta}(x) \,, \quad \Psi_6 = \int_{V_0} \Delta(x)^2 \ddot{\Delta}(x) \,, \\
&\Psi_7 = \int_{V \setminus V_0} G(x)^2 \ddot{G}(x) \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

At $D = 4$ only Ψ_4 and Ψ_5 have a pole, the others are finite.

4.1 Ψ_1

Numerical integration of Ψ_1 is simple and results for $\ell = 1, \ell = 2$ are given in table 10. Note as a check at $\ell = 1$ we have $\Psi_1 = \frac{1}{4}\Sigma_4 = 0.01189047875442588/4 = 0.002972619688606468$ which agrees to all digits.

4.2 Ψ_2

We split Ψ_2 in two terms

$$\Psi_2 = \Psi_{2a} + \Psi_{2b} \,, \tag{4.4}$$

with

$$\Psi_{2a} = g(0)^2 \int_{V_0} \ddot{\Delta}(x) \,, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\Psi_{2b} = \int_{V_0} \left[g(x)^2 - g(0)^2 \right] \ddot{\Delta}(x) \,. \tag{4.6}$$

Now

$$\int_{V_0} \ddot{\Delta}(x) = \frac{1}{D} \int_{V_0} \Box \Delta(x) = -\frac{1}{D} \,, \tag{4.7}$$

hence

$$\Psi_{2a} = -\frac{1}{4} g(0)^2 \,. \tag{4.8}$$

Ψ_{2b} is zero for $\ell = 1$. A direct calculation for $\ell = 2$ in Cartesian coordinates is not too precise, and we get $\Psi_{2b} = 0.001458\ldots \,, \qquad \ell = 2$. The reason for poor convergence is the integrable singularity at the origin. One can improve drastically the convergence by changing the variables

$$x_0 = \eta \,, \quad x_i = \eta u_i \,, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \,. \tag{4.9}$$

The region $\eta \in [0, 1/2]$, $u_i \in [-1, 1]$ corresponds to the pyramid with the hyper-face $x_0 = +1/2$ as basis.[8] The change of variables is illustrated in fig. 4.2. Precise values of Ψ_{2a}, Ψ_{2b} for $\ell = 1, \ell = 2$ are given in table 10.

[8] These variables are also convenient to describe the part of the pyramid cut out by sphere S: $1/\left(2\sqrt{1+\mathbf{u}^2}\right) \le \eta \le 1/2$.



Figure 2. For integration over the hypercube $V_0 = [-1/2, 1/2]^d$ or over $V_0 \backslash S$ it is convenient to split V_0 into $2d$ pyramids and introduce in each of them new variables η and u_i where $x_0 = \eta$ and $x_i = \eta u_i$ (cf. (4.9)). This trick improves the precision of integration in the case when one has an integrable singularity at $x = 0$.

4.3 Ψ_3

We also split Ψ_3 in two parts

$$\Psi_3 = \Psi_{3a} + \Psi_{3b}\,, \tag{4.10}$$

with

$$\Psi_{3a} = 2g(0)\ddot{g}(0) \int_{V_0} \Delta(x)\,, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\Psi_{3b} = 2 \int_{V_0} \Delta(x) \left[g(x)\ddot{g}(x) - g(0)\ddot{g}(0)\right]\,. \tag{4.12}$$

All quantities appearing in Ψ_{3a} are already available, and there are no problems with numerical computation of Ψ_{3b} for $d = 4$. Values of Ψ_{3a}, Ψ_{3b} for $\ell = 1, \ell = 2$ are given in table 10. As a check, for $\ell = 1$ one has $\Psi_{3b} = \frac{1}{4}\Sigma_3$ which gives the same value as in table 10.

4.4 Ψ_4

We have

$$\Psi_4 = \Psi_{4a} + \Psi_{4b}\,, \tag{4.13}$$

with

$$\Psi_{4a} = \ddot{g}(0)\Sigma_1\,, \tag{4.14}$$

$$\Psi_{4b} = \int_{V_0} \Delta(x)^2 \left[\ddot{g}(x) - \ddot{g}(0)\right]\,. \tag{4.15}$$

Note that in eq. (4.14) Σ_1 is given in (3.72) and because of the pole one needs $\ddot{g}_1(0)$, the $\mathrm{O}\,(D-4)$ term in $\ddot{g}(0)$ which is given in eq. (3.62).

Adding values for Ψ_{4b} we have

$$\Psi_4(\ell, \hat{\ell}) = -\frac{\ddot{g}_0(0;\ell)}{8\pi^2(D-4)} - \frac{\ddot{g}_1(0;\ell,\hat{\ell})}{8\pi^2} + \Psi_{4c}\,. \tag{4.16}$$

Here Ψ_{4c} is the sum of Ψ_{4b} and the contribution from the constant term in (3.72). Values for Ψ_{4b}, Ψ_{4c} are given in table 10.

4.5 Ψ_5

As for Σ_1 to separate the divergent terms we separate from the volume a D-dimensional sphere S with radius ρ:

$$\Psi_5 = \Psi_{5a} + \Psi_{5b} + \Psi_{5c} + \Psi_{5d}\,, \tag{4.17}$$

with

$$\Psi_{5a} = 2g(0)\int_S \Delta(x)\ddot{\Delta}(x)\,, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\Psi_{5b} = \sum_\nu \partial_\nu^2 g(0)\psi_{5b}^{(\nu)}\,, \tag{4.19}$$

$$\psi_{5b}^{(\nu)} = \int_S x_\nu^2 \Delta(x)\ddot{\Delta}(x)\,, \tag{4.20}$$

$$\Psi_{5c} = 2\int_S \hat{g}(x)\Delta(x)\ddot{\Delta}(x)\,,\quad \hat{g}(x) = g(x) - g(0) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_\nu x_\nu^2\partial_\nu^2 g(0)\,, \tag{4.21}$$

$$\Psi_{5d} = 2\int_{V_0\setminus S} \ddot{\Delta}(x)\Delta(x)g(x)\,. \tag{4.22}$$

Ψ_{5a} is zero since by symmetry it is proportional to $\Delta(0)$.

Next for $\nu = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{5b}^{(0)} = \int_S \ddot{\Delta}(x)\Delta(x)t^2 &= (D-2)A_D^2\int_S \frac{(Dt^2 - r^2)t^2}{r^{2D}} \\ &= (D-2)A_D^2\Omega_D\int_0^\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{r^{D-3}}\left\langle D\cos^4\vartheta - \cos^2\vartheta\right\rangle\,,\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

where $\rho = 1/2$. The averaging over ϑ is with weight $\sin^{D-2}\vartheta$. One has

$$I_D = \int_0^\pi \sin^{D-2}\vartheta \mathrm{d}\vartheta = \frac{\Gamma(1/2)\,\Gamma(D/2-1/2)}{\Gamma(D/2)} \tag{4.24}$$

and $\Omega_D = \Omega_{D-1}I_D$. For general n we have

$$\langle\cos^n\vartheta\rangle = \frac{\Gamma(n/2+1/2)\,\Gamma(D/2)}{\Gamma(n/2+D/2)\,\Gamma(1/2)}\,. \tag{4.25}$$

The averages appearing above are

$$\langle\cos^2\vartheta\rangle = \frac{1}{D}\,, \tag{4.26}$$

$$\langle\cos^4\vartheta\rangle = \frac{3}{D(D+2)}\,. \tag{4.27}$$

Since $\int_S \ddot{\Delta}(x)\Delta(x)r^2 = 0$ by symmetry, we obtain

$$\psi_{5b}^{(\nu)} = \frac{2^{D-5}\Gamma(D/2-1)}{\pi^{D/2}(D-4)D(D+2)}\left(1 - D\,\delta_{\nu 0}\right)\,. \tag{4.28}$$

Using eq. (3.63) one has

$$\sum_\nu \partial_\nu^2 g(0)\,(1 - D\delta_{\nu 0}) = \frac{1}{\ell\,\hat{\ell}^{D-4}} - D\ddot{g}(0)\,. \tag{4.29}$$

This gives

$$\Psi_{5b} = \frac{2^{D-5}\Gamma(D/2-1)}{\pi^{D/2}D(D+2)(D-4)}\left[\frac{1}{\ell\,\hat{\ell}^{D-4}} - D\ddot{g}(0)\right]\,. \tag{4.30}$$

Because of the pole at $D = 4$ we need here the expansion of $\ddot{g}(0)$ to first order in $D-4$, given in eq. (3.62) and table 6.

Expanding in $D-4$ one finally has

$$\Psi_{5b}(\ell,\hat{\ell}) = \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\left(\frac{1}{D-4} + \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\ln\pi - \frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\ell} - 4\ddot{g}_0(0;\ell)\right) - \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\left(\frac{\ln\hat{\ell}}{\ell} + \frac{1}{4\ell} + 4\ddot{g}_1(0;\ell,\hat{\ell})\right) + \mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\,. \tag{4.31}$$

As a check one can verify that $\Psi_{5b}(1,1) = 0$ since $\ddot{g}_0(0;1) = 1/4$ and $\ddot{g}_1(0;1,1) = -1/16$.

The last two integrals are convergent. Note that in $d = 4$ one has

$$g(x) = g(0) + \frac{1}{6}\left(\ddot{g}(0) - \frac{1}{4\ell}\right)\,. \tag{4.32}$$

The integral in Ψ_{5c} is convergent due to the subtraction ($\hat{g}(x) = \mathrm{O}\left(r^4\right)$) and it is obviously zero for $\ell = 1$ due to cubic symmetry. Numerical integration with increasing precision indicates convergence to zero also for $\ell > 1$ although $\hat{g}(x)$ does not have the cubic symmetry in this case. A closer look shows that the angular integration at fixed r gives zero. This can be explained as follows. Since $\Box g(x) = 1/\ell$ it follows from (4.21) that $\hat{g}(x)$ is a harmonic function, $\Box\hat{g}(x) = 0$. Further, since $\hat{g}(x) = \mathrm{O}\left(r^4\right)$ its expansion in powers of r contains only spherical harmonics of order larger than two. The angular dependence of $\ddot{\Delta}(x) \propto 4t^2 - x^2$ in Ψ_{5c} is given by a spherical harmonics of order two, hence due to the orthogonality of the spherical harmonics the angular integration indeed gives zero.

Hence we conclude that

$$\Psi_{5c} = 0\,. \tag{4.33}$$

Adding together $\Psi_5 = \Psi_{5b} + \Psi_{5d}$ one has

$$\Psi_5(\ell,\hat{\ell}) = \frac{1}{48\pi^2(D-4)}\left(\frac{1}{\ell} - 4\ddot{g}_0(0;\ell)\right) - \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\left(\frac{\ln\hat{\ell}}{\ell} + \frac{1}{4\ell} + 4\ddot{g}_1(0;\ell,\hat{\ell})\right) + \psi_5 + \mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\,. \tag{4.34}$$

Values of Ψ_{5d} and ψ_5 for $\ell = 1, \ell = 2$ are given in table 10, ($\Psi_{5d} = 0$ for $\ell = 1\,, d = 4$ because of symmetry).

4.6 Ψ_6 and Ψ_7

The integral Ψ_6 is zero

$$\Psi_6 = \int_{V_0} \Delta(x)^2\ddot{\Delta}(x) = -\frac{1}{D}\Delta(0)^2 = 0\,. \tag{4.35}$$

Also $\Psi_7 = 0$ for $\ell = 1$ and its value for $\ell = 2$ is given in table 10.

4.7 The final result for Ψ

Collecting all terms one gets[9] for the sunset diagram eq. (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\ell,\hat{\ell}) &= -\frac{1}{48\pi^2(D-4)}\left(10\,\ddot{g}(0;\ell)-\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_D}\right)-\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\overline{\mathcal{W}}(\ell)+\mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\\ &= -\frac{1}{48\pi^2}\left[\frac{1}{(D-4)}\left\{10\,\ddot{g}_0(0;\ell)-\frac{1}{\ell}\right\}+10\,\ddot{g}_1(0;\ell,\hat{\ell})+\frac{\ln\hat{\ell}}{\ell}+3\overline{\mathcal{W}}(\ell)\right]+\mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

The sum of non-singular terms in $\Psi(\ell,\hat{\ell})$ are collected in $\overline{\mathcal{W}}(\ell)$. Its values for $\ell=1,2$ are given in table 10.

According to (3.61), (3.62) one has

$$\ddot{g}_0(0;\ell) = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1(\ell)+\frac{1}{4}\,, \tag{4.37}$$

$$\ddot{g}_1(0;\ell,\hat{\ell}) = -\mathcal{W}_1(\ell,\hat{\ell}) = -\tau_1(\ell,\hat{\ell})-\frac{1}{16}\,. \tag{4.38}$$

4.8 Checks

For the special case $\ell=\hat{\ell}=1$

$$\int_{V_0} G(x)^2\ddot{g}(x) = \frac{1}{D}\int_{V_0} G(x)^2\,. \tag{4.39}$$

The lhs. is given by

$$\Psi_1+\Psi_3+\Psi_4 = -\frac{1}{32\pi^2(D-4)}+0.00039168116473927\,. \tag{4.40}$$

Using (3.74) the rhs. is

$$\frac{1}{D}\int_{V_0} G(x)^2 = -\frac{1}{32\pi^2(D-4)}+0.00039168116473921\,, \tag{4.41}$$

which agrees with (4.40) up to the 14th digit.

The other contribution is

$$\int_{V_0} G(x)^2\ddot{\Delta}(x) = \Psi_2+\Psi_5+\Psi_6\,. \tag{4.42}$$

Since $\Box\Delta(x)=-\delta(x)$ and using $\Delta(0)=0$ one has

$$\int_{V_0} G(x)^2\ddot{\Delta}(x) = -\frac{1}{D}G(0)^2 = -\frac{1}{D}g(0)^2\,, \tag{4.43}$$

in agreement with (4.42).

Also we checked the results for arbitrary ℓ by computing Ψ in a completely independent way outlined in appendix B. Doing this we obtained $\overline{\mathcal{W}}(\ell=1)=0.925362611856$, and $\overline{\mathcal{W}}(\ell=2)=0.154824638695$. They differ from the results in table 10 in the 14th and 7th

integral	$\ell = 1$	$\ell = 2$
Ψ_1	0.0029726196886064 68	−0.0002756518941414777
Ψ_{2a}	−0.0049323221150938617502	−0.0008736439288408174456
Ψ_{2b}	0	0.001457734623477771
Ψ_{3a}	−0.007626138318340436	0.004331672346637381
Ψ_{3b}	0.00132107926348728	−0.00183077295570103
Ψ_{4b}	0	0.0002857740147852
Ψ_{4c}	0.0029325487837802	−0.0036720333298669
Ψ_{5d}	0	−0.000646088213001554
ψ_5	0	−0.0019520367762068
Ψ_7	0	0.002098150204321247
$\overline{\mathcal{W}}$	0.9253626118515132	0.1548247146974042

Table 10. Values for Ψ_i and Ψ for $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 2$.

digits, respectively. We haven't located the source of the discrepancy for $\ell = 2$, but the estimate to 7 digits is at present sufficient for our purposes.

Comparing the two approaches, note than in position-space the singularity is only at $x = 0$, and several terms considered above have to be treated in DR to cure the singularity. In contrast to this, in the momentum-space approach the singularity of the sunset diagram appears in two loop-momentum variables, hence it is more difficult to handle it.

4.9 Other results

Ψ has no pole at $D = 3$, and using similar methods for numerical evaluation as described for $D \sim 4$ we obtain[10] (for $L = L_s = 1$, cf. (4.1) and (4.36)).

$$\Psi(\ell) = -\overline{W}(\ell) = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\overline{\mathcal{W}}(\ell)\,, \qquad d = 3\,, \tag{4.44}$$

with

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}}(\ell) = \begin{cases} 2.12506105522294\,, & \text{for } \ell = 1\,, \\ 1.90198910547056\,, & \text{for } \ell = 2\,. \end{cases} \tag{4.45}$$

We have also evaluated the sunset integral

$$\overline{Z} = \int_x [G(x)]^3\,, \tag{4.46}$$

by similar methods.

For $D \sim 3$

$$\overline{Z} \sim \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3}\left\{-2\pi\left[\frac{1}{D-3} - 2\ln L\right] + \overline{\mathcal{Z}}(\underline{\ell})\right\}\,, \tag{4.47}$$

with

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}} = \begin{cases} -10.290523702796\,, & \text{for } \ell = 1\,, \\ -4.9484964492404\,, & \text{for } \ell = 2\,. \end{cases} \tag{4.48}$$

[9] For a general shape one should replace here $1/\ell$ by $1/\prod_{\mu=0}^{d_s}\ell_\mu = 1/\mathcal{V}$.

[10] The notation $\overline{W}$ was used in [1]

Next for $D \sim 4$:

$$\overline{Z} \sim \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 L^2} \left\{ 6 \left[\frac{1}{D-4} - 2 \ln L \right] \mathcal{Z}_0(\underline{\ell}) + 6 \mathcal{Z}_1(\underline{\ell}, \hat{\ell}) + \overline{\mathcal{Z}}(\underline{\ell}) \right\} , \tag{4.49}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_0(\underline{\ell}) &= -4\pi g_0(0;\underline{\ell}) = -\alpha_1(\underline{\ell}) + 1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} , \\ \mathcal{Z}_1(\underline{\ell}, \hat{\ell}) &= -4\pi g_1(0;\underline{\ell}, \hat{\ell}) = -\rho_1(\underline{\ell}, \hat{\ell}) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\ln(\hat{\ell})}{\mathcal{V}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

(cf. (3.59) and (3.60)).

Some numerical values of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ are:

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{d=4} = \begin{cases} -2.502240295082 , & \text{for } \ell = 1 , \\ -3.240047780695 , & \text{for } \ell = 2 . \end{cases} \tag{4.51}$$

5 Dimensionally regularized integrals on a strip

In our paper [1] we quote the result of a computation of the mass gap of a massless O(n) sigma model in 3+1 dimensions with dimensional regularization. The computation involves computing the 2-point function of chiral fields separated in the "time" by distance t in a volume[11]

$$\Lambda = \left\{ x; x_0 \in [-T/2, T/2] , x_\mu \in [0, L] , \text{for } \mu = 1, \ldots, d_s , x_\mu \in [0, \widehat{L}] , \text{for } \mu = d, \ldots, D-1 \right\} , \tag{5.1}$$

with periodic boundary conditions in the $D-1$ "spatial" directions, and free boundary conditions in the time direction (cf. [5]). The mass gap determines the exponential fall off of the 2-point function for $t \to \infty$ (the limit $T \to \infty$ being taken first).

The free Green function $G_F(x,y)$ is determined by the following four properties:

$$\int_{x \in \Lambda} G_F(x,y) = 0 \quad ; \quad (y \in \overline{\Lambda}) , \tag{5.2}$$

$$\partial_0 G_F(x,y) = 0 \quad ; \quad (x_0 = \pm T/2 , y \in \overline{\Lambda}) , \tag{5.3}$$

$$G_F(x,y) = G_F(y,x) \quad ; \quad (x, y \in \overline{\Lambda}) , \tag{5.4}$$

where $\overline{\Lambda}$ is the interior of Λ, and for $x, y \in \Lambda$

$$-\Box G_F(x,y) = \delta^{(D)}(x-y) - \frac{1}{V_D} . \tag{5.5}$$

Here the second term is due to the subtraction of the zero mode and

$$V_D = T \overline{V}_D , \quad \overline{V}_D = L^{d-1} \widehat{L}^{D-d} . \tag{5.6}$$

[11] Note here T is the extent in the time direction not the temperature.

A representation of the Green function is

$$G_F(x,y) = \frac{1}{\overline{V}_D}\left(-\frac{1}{2}|x_0-y_0| + \frac{x_0^2+y_0^2}{2T} + \frac{T}{12}\right) + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\Big\{R\left(x_0-y_0+2mT, \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\right) + R\left(x_0+y_0+(2m+1)T, \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\right)\Big\}, \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$R(z) = \frac{1}{2\overline{V}_D}\sum_{\mathbf{p}\neq 0}\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}\mathrm{e}^{-\omega_{\mathbf{p}}|z_0|}\mathrm{e}^{i\mathbf{pz}}\,. \tag{5.8}$$

Here the sum goes over $p_\mu = 2\pi\nu_\mu/L_\mu\,,\ \mu = 1,\dots,D-1$ with $\nu_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\omega_{\mathbf{p}} = |\mathbf{p}|\,. \tag{5.9}$$

The function $R(z)$ is defined in (5.8) for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^D\backslash 0$; in particular for $|z_0| \to \infty$ the function $R(z)$ falls exponentially. The singularity at $z = 0$ is regularized dimensionally through an alternative representation in terms of the Jacobi theta function (3.52):

$$R(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi L^{D-2}}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}u\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}\mathrm{e}^{-z_0^2\pi/(L^2u)}\left\{u^{-(D-1)/2}\prod_{\mu=1}^{D-1}S\left(\frac{\ell_\mu^2}{u}, \frac{z_\mu}{L\ell_\mu}\right) - \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'}\right\}, \tag{5.10}$$

where $\mathcal{V}' = \prod_{\mu=1}^{D-1}\ell_\mu\,.$

It turns out that the mass gap to third order PT is completely determined by the following three numbers involving $R:\ R(0), \ddot{R}(0)$ and [12]

$$\overline{\Psi} = -L^{2D-4}W = L^{2D-4}\int \mathrm{d}y\, R(y)^2\partial_0^2 R(y)\,, \tag{5.11}$$

and the rest of this subsection deals with their numerical computation.

First from (5.10) follows

$$R(0) = \frac{1}{4\pi L^{D-2}}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}u\,\frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}\left\{u^{-(D-1)/2}\prod_{\mu=1}^{D-1}S\left(\frac{\ell_\mu^2}{u}\right) - \frac{1}{\hat{\ell}q}\right\}. \tag{5.12}$$

For numerical evaluation and $\ell_\mu = 1$ for $\mu = 1,\dots,d_s$ and $d > 2$:

$$R(0) = \frac{1}{4\pi L^{d-2}}\left\{\int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{u}}\Big[S(u)^{d-1}\Big]_{\mathrm{sub}} + \frac{2}{2-d} - 2\right\}. \tag{5.13}$$

Using this we find:

$$R(0) = -0.3103732206\times L^{-1}\,, \qquad \text{for } d=3\,, \tag{5.14}$$

$$R(0) = -0.225784959440758033483266491 7\times L^{-2}\,, \quad \text{for } d=4\,. \tag{5.15}$$

[12] The notation $W = -\int \mathrm{d}y R(y)^2\partial_0^2 R(y)$ was used in eq.(5.13) of ref. [1].

5.1 Calculation of the sunset diagram

Next we turn to the numerical computation of $\overline{\Psi}$ for $D \sim 4$ in (5.11), setting initially $L = 1$ and recovering later the dependence on L. In analogy to the computation of Ψ in section 4 it is advantageous to first separate the infinite-volume propagator:

$$R(z) = \Delta(z) + h(z)\,, \tag{5.16}$$

with [13]

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{u}} \mathrm{e}^{-\pi z_0^2/u} \left[\frac{1}{u^{(D-1)/2}} \prod_{\mu=1}^{D-1} S\left(\frac{\ell_\mu^2}{u}, \frac{z_\mu}{\ell_\mu}\right) - \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'} - \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\pi \mathbf{z}^2/u}}{u^{(D-1)/2}} \right] . \tag{5.17}$$

We have

$$\overline{\Psi} = \sum_{r=1}^{7} \overline{\Psi}_r\,, \tag{5.18}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{\Psi}_1 = \int_{V_0} h(x)^2 \ddot{h}(x)\,, \qquad \overline{\Psi}_2 = \int_{V_0} \ddot{\Delta}(x) h(x)^2\,, \qquad \overline{\Psi}_3 = 2\int_{V_0} \Delta(x) h(x) \ddot{h}(x)\,,\\
&\overline{\Psi}_4 = \int_{V_0} \Delta(x)^2 \ddot{h}(x)\,, \qquad \overline{\Psi}_5 = 2\int_{V_0} \Delta(x) \ddot{\Delta}(x) h(x)\,, \qquad \overline{\Psi}_6 = \int_{V_0} \Delta(x)^2 \ddot{\Delta}(x)\,,\\
&\overline{\Psi}_7 = \int_{V\setminus V_0} R(x)^2 \ddot{R}(x)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Although h is defined for $\ell \to \infty$, we find it convenient to use (5.16) with a large but finite ℓ, since the deviation decreases exponentially fast. In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
h(x) &= g(x) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'}\left(\frac{1}{2}|x_0| - \frac{x_0^2}{2\ell} - \frac{\ell}{12}\right)\,,\\
\ddot{h}(x) &= \ddot{g}(x) - \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'}\delta(x_0)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

where $\mathcal{V}' = \hat{\ell}^{D-4} = 1 - (D-4)\ln\hat{\ell} + \ldots$. Note that $g(x)$ is a smooth function at $x = 0$, hence (5.20) gives explicitly the singular part of $h(x)$ at the origin.

Assuming $\ell \gg 1$ and using the DR rule $\delta^{(D)}(0) = 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
R(0) = h(0) &= g(0) - \frac{\ell}{12} = -0.22578495944075803348326649 17\,,\\
\ddot{R}(0) = \ddot{h}(0) &= \ddot{g}(0) - \frac{1}{\ell} = -0.83753691069608187838689482 93\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

[13] The function $R(z)$ is used in Peter Hasenfratz's rotator paper, the propagator without the contribution of the slow modes, $\mathbf{p} = 0$. It is denoted there by $D^*(z)$, while our $h(z)$ by $\bar{D}^*(z)$; cf. eqs. (49) and (52) of ref. [6].

5.1.1 $\overline{\Psi}_1$

$$\overline{\Psi}_1 = \overline{\Psi}_{1a} + \overline{\Psi}_{1b}\,, \tag{5.22}$$

where

$$\overline{\Psi}_{1a} = \int_{V_0} h(x)^2 \left[\ddot{g}(x) - 1/\ell\right] = -0.0119854538\,, \tag{5.23}$$

and

$$\overline{\Psi}_{1b} = \int_{V_0} \delta(x_0) h(x)^2 = 0.0379616384\,. \tag{5.24}$$

So[14]

$$\overline{\Psi}_1 = 0.0259761846\,. \tag{5.25}$$

5.1.2 $\overline{\Psi}_2$

We split

$$\overline{\Psi}_2 = h(0)^2 \int_{V_0} \ddot{\Delta}(x) + \int_{V_0} \ddot{\Delta}(x) \left[h(x)^2 - h(0)^2\right]\,. \tag{5.26}$$

The first integral gives

$$\overline{\Psi}_{2a} = -h(0)^2/4 = -0.012744711977416187558036887 0\,. \tag{5.27}$$

For the second one we divide the volume into 8 pyramids, e.g. the one defined by (4.9) with $\eta \in [0, 1/2]$ and $u_i \in [-1, 1]$. The advantage of this is that the Jacobian, η^3 cancels the integrable singularity at $x = 0$. Since the integrand is even in all components x_μ one can restrict the integration to $u_i \in [0, 1]$. Thereby we obtain

$$\overline{\Psi}_{2b} = -0.0176501762\,. \tag{5.28}$$

Hence

$$\overline{\Psi}_2 = -0.0303948882\,. \tag{5.29}$$

Note that the parameterization (4.9) is also useful to calculate integrals over $V_0 \backslash S$, taking $1/\left(2\sqrt{1+u^2}\right) \le \eta \le 1/2$. The integral over the whole torus can also be done this way.

5.1.3 $\overline{\Psi}_3$

It is convenient to decompose this into three terms

$$\overline{\Psi}_3 = \overline{\Psi}_{3a} + \overline{\Psi}_{3b} + \overline{\Psi}_{3c}\,, \tag{5.30}$$

with

$$\overline{\Psi}_{3a} = 2 \int_{V_0} \Delta(x) \delta(x_0) \left[g(x) - \frac{\ell}{12}\right]\,, \tag{5.31}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{3b} = 2h(0)\ddot{h}(0) \int_{V_0} \Delta(x)\,, \tag{5.32}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{3c} = 2 \int_{V_0} \Delta(x) \left\{ \left[\ddot{g}(x) - \frac{1}{\ell}\right] h(x) - h(0)\ddot{h}(0) \right\}\,. \tag{5.33}$$

[14] We checked that it does not depend on the summation cut, α and ℓ. Also with numerical differentiation vs. the analytic formula for $\ddot{g}(x)$.

We obtain

$$\overline{\Psi}_{3a} = -0.0810043077\,, \tag{5.34}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{3b} = -0.04903475008980288\,\ddot{h}(0) = 0.04106841310696793\,, \tag{5.35}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{3c} = -0.017070896\,, \tag{5.36}$$

where in (5.35) we used (3.73). Collecting contributions

$$\overline{\Psi}_3 = -0.0490347500898029\,\ddot{h}(0) - 0.0980752037 = -0.057006791\,. \tag{5.37}$$

5.1.4 $\overline{\Psi}_4$

$$\overline{\Psi}_4 = \overline{\Psi}_{4a} + \overline{\Psi}_{4b} + \overline{\Psi}_{4c}\,, \tag{5.38}$$

with

$$\overline{\Psi}_{4a} = \ddot{h}(0)\Sigma_1\,, \tag{5.39}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{4b} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'}\int_{V_0}\Delta(x)^2\delta(x_0)\,, \tag{5.40}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{4c} = \int_{V_0}\Delta(x)^2\left[\ddot{g}(x) - \ddot{g}(0)\right]\,. \tag{5.41}$$

Using (3.72)

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Psi}_{4a} &= \left[-\frac{1}{8\pi^2(D-4)} + 0.011730195135120778\right]\ddot{h}(0)\\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2(D-4)}\ddot{h}(0) - 0.0098244713953312651\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

For $\overline{\Psi}_{4b}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'}\int_S\Delta(x)^2\delta(x_0) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'}A_D^2\int_{S_{D-1}}|\mathbf{x}|^{-2D+4} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'}A_D^2\Omega_{D-1}\int_0^{1/2}\frac{r^{D-2}}{r^{2D-4}}\mathrm{d}r\\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'}A_D^2\Omega_{D-1}\frac{2^{D-3}}{3-D} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'}\left(\frac{\Gamma(D/2-1)}{4\pi^{D/2}}\right)^2\frac{2\pi^{(D-1)/2}}{\Gamma((D-1)/2)}\frac{2^{D-3}}{3-D}\\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi^3} + \mathrm{O}\left(D-4\right)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.43}$$

Together with

$$\int_{V_0\setminus S}\Delta(x)^2\delta(x_0) = 0.00272219663411\,, \tag{5.44}$$

this gives

$$\overline{\Psi}_{4b} = -0.013403570582\,. \tag{5.45}$$

We evaluate

$$\overline{\Psi}_{4c} = 0.00028615356\,. \tag{5.46}$$

Collecting terms one has

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Psi}_4 &= \left(-\frac{1}{8\pi^2(D-4)} + 0.011730195135120778\right)\ddot{h}(0) - 0.01311741702\\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2(D-4)}\ddot{h}(0) - 0.022941888418\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

Note that one needs here the expansion of $\ddot{h}(0)$ to $\mathrm{O}\left(D-4\right)$.

5.1.5 $\overline{\Psi}_5$

Expanding one has

$$\overline{\Psi}_5 = \overline{\Psi}_{5a} + \Psi_{5b} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}}\psi^{(0)}_{5b} + \Psi_{5c} + \overline{\Psi}_{5d} + \overline{\Psi}_{5e}\,, \tag{5.48}$$

with

$$\overline{\Psi}_{5a} = 2h(0)\int_S \ddot{\Delta}(x)\Delta(x)\,, \tag{5.49}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{5d} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'}\int_S \ddot{\Delta}(x)\Delta(x)|x_0|\,, \tag{5.50}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{5e} = 2\int_{V_0\setminus S} \Delta(x)\ddot{\Delta}(x)h(x)\,. \tag{5.51}$$

The terms Ψ_{5b}, $\psi^{(0)}_{5b}$ and Ψ_{5c} are given by (4.19), (4.20) and (4.21), respectively.

The term $\overline{\Psi}_{5a} = 0$ since by symmetry it is proportional to $\Delta(0)$. The second and third one are logarithmically divergent and have a pole in $D-4$. Therefore we need here the expansion of $\partial^2_\nu g(0)$ to first order in $D-4$ given in eqs. (3.61), (3.62) and table 6. The last two integrals are convergent.

$$\Psi_{5a}(\ell,\hat{\ell}) = \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\left(\frac{1}{D-4} + \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\ln\pi - \frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\ell} - 4\ddot{g}(0)\right) - \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\left(\frac{\ln\hat{\ell}}{\ell} + \frac{1}{4\ell}\right) + \mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\,. \tag{5.52}$$

Next from (4.28)

$$-\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}}\psi^{(0)}_{5b} = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{V}}\int_S \ddot{\Delta}(x)\Delta(x)x_0^2 = \frac{2^{D-5}\Gamma(D/2-1)(D-1)}{\ell\hat{\ell}^{D-4}\pi^{D/2}D(D+2)(D-4)} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2\ell}\left(\frac{1}{D-4} + \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\ln\pi - \ln\hat{\ell} - \frac{1}{12}\right) + \mathrm{O}\,(D-4)\,. \tag{5.53}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{5d} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'}(D-2)A_D^2\int_S \frac{(Dt^2-r^2)|t|}{r^{2D}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}'}(D-2)A_D^2\Omega_D\frac{\rho^{3-D}}{3-D}\left\langle D\cos^3\vartheta - \cos\vartheta\right\rangle\,, \tag{5.54}$$

where $\rho = 1/2$ and the averaging is again over $\vartheta \in [0,\pi/2]$ with weight $\sin^{D-2}\vartheta$. Using (4.25) we have

$$\langle\cos\vartheta\rangle = \frac{\Gamma(D/2)}{\Gamma(D/2+1/2)\,\Gamma(1/2)}\,, \tag{5.55}$$

$$\langle\cos^3\vartheta\rangle = \frac{\Gamma(D/2)}{\Gamma(D/2+3/2)\,\Gamma(1/2)}\,. \tag{5.56}$$

So for $D = 4$ we obtain

$$\overline{\Psi}_{5d} = -\frac{2}{5\pi^3}\,, \tag{5.57}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{5e} = 0.00094695753\,. \tag{5.58}$$

Altogether (recalling $\Psi_{5c} = 0$):

$$\overline{\Psi}_5 = \frac{1}{12\pi^2}\left[-\frac{1}{D-4} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma - \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2}\ln\pi + \frac{1}{6}\right]\ddot{h}(0) - 0.01195365624\,. \tag{5.59}$$

5.1.6 $\overline{\Psi}_6$ and $\overline{\Psi}_7$

The integral $\overline{\Psi}_6 = \Psi_6 = 0$ (see (4.35)), and numerical integration yields

$$\overline{\Psi}_7 = 0.0000034832546\,. \tag{5.60}$$

5.1.7 Final result for $\overline{\Psi}$

Collecting all terms one obtains the final result[15]

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\Psi} &= -\frac{5}{24\pi^2}\frac{1}{D-4}\ddot{h}(0) - 0.0344802923\,\ddot{h}(0) - 0.1275614973 \\ &= -\frac{5}{24\pi^2}\frac{1}{D-4}\ddot{h}(0) - 0.0986829798\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.61}$$

Note that the terms containing ℓ cancel and one can take here the $\ell \to \infty$ limit. This result depends on the $\mathrm{O}\,(D-4)$ term of $\ddot{h}(0)$ which in turn depends on $\hat{\ell}$, the size of the extra dimensions. However, this dependence cancels from a physical quantity, like the mass gap.

6 Finite volume momentum sums with lattice regularization

In this section we present results for certain one and two loop momentum sums that we require for our computation of the free energy in massless χ-PT with lattice regularization [1]. We work in an asymmetric d-dimensional volume $L_0 = L_t, L_\mu = L\,, \mu = 1, \ldots, d_s$ and periodic boundary in each direction. We work with the standard lattice action so that the free propagator is given by

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{V}\sum_{p\neq 0}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{ipx}}{\hat{p}^2}\,, \tag{6.1}$$

where the sum is over $p_\mu = 2\pi n_\mu/L_\mu$, $n_\mu = 0, \ldots, L_\mu - 1\,, V = \prod_{\mu=0}^{d_s} L_\mu$ and $\hat{p}_\mu = 2\sin(p_\mu/2)$. In many equations we will set the lattice spacing a to 1.

Forward and backward difference operators are defined by

$$\partial_\mu f(x) = f(x+\hat{\mu}) - f(x)\,, \tag{6.2}$$

$$\partial^*_\mu f(x) = f(x) - f(x-\hat{\mu})\,, \tag{6.3}$$

[15] Eq. (5.61) does not agree with Peter Hasenfratz's result (63) where he has 0.029492025146 instead of 0.0986829798. He also has a $\ln(L_s)$ term, but this is absent in the present convention due to the choice of the scale $L = L_s$.

where $\hat{\mu}$ is the unit vector in the μ–direction, and the symmetric derivative

$$\nabla_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu + \partial_\mu^*\right) . \tag{6.4}$$

Defining the lattice Laplacian by

$$\Box_\mu = \partial_\mu \partial_\mu^* , \quad \Box = \sum_\mu \Box_\mu , \tag{6.5}$$

the propagator (6.1) satisfies

$$\Box G(x) = -\delta(x) + 1/V . \tag{6.6}$$

Some useful relations involving the lattice propagator are given in appendix C.

6.1 Some 1-loop momentum sums

We define the following 1-loop momentum sums

$$I_{nm} = \frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{(\hat{p}_0^2)^m}{(\hat{p}^2)^n} , \tag{6.7}$$

$$J_{nm} = \frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{(\hat{p}_0^2)^m \sum_\mu \hat{p}_\mu^4}{(\hat{p}^2)^n} , \tag{6.8}$$

$$K_{nm} = \frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{(\hat{p}_0^2)^m (\sum_\mu \hat{p}_\mu^4)^2}{(\hat{p}^2)^n} , \tag{6.9}$$

$$L_{nm} = \frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{(\hat{p}_0^2)^m}{(\hat{p}^2)^n} \sum_{\mu\nu} \cos(p_\mu - p_\nu)\hat{p}_\mu^2 \hat{p}_\nu^2 , \tag{6.10}$$

$$J_{nmk} = \frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{(\hat{p}_0^2)^m \sum_\mu \hat{p}_\mu^{2k}}{(\hat{p}^2)^n} . \tag{6.11}$$

Some of these are related to each other e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} L_{nm} &= \frac{1}{V}{\sum_p}' \frac{(\hat{p}_0^2)^m}{(\hat{p}^2)^n} \left[\left(\sum_\mu \hat{p}_\mu^2 \cos p_\mu \right)^2 + \sum_\mu \hat{p}_\mu^4 \sin^2 p_\mu \right] \\ &= I_{n-2,m} - J_{n-1,m} + \frac{1}{4} K_{nm} + J_{nm3} - \frac{1}{4} J_{nm4} , \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

since $\cos p_\mu = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\hat{p}_\mu^2$ and $\sin^2 p_\mu = \hat{p}_\mu^2 - \frac{1}{4}\hat{p}_\mu^4$.

Note for all dimensions:

$$I_{00} = 1 - a^d/V , \tag{6.13}$$

$$I_{01} = 2 , \tag{6.14}$$

$$I_{02} = 6 , \tag{6.15}$$

$$I_{10} = G(0) , \quad d > 2 , \tag{6.16}$$

$$I_{20} = \sum_x G(x)^2 . \tag{6.17}$$

We are interested in the expansion of these sums for $N = L/a \to \infty$. As has been shown in ref. [7] the 1-loop sums we consider here have a cutoff dependence of the form

$$A + B \ln N + N^{\delta - d} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} c_s N^{-2s} \,, \tag{6.18}$$

where δ is determined by behavior of the summand, $|k|^{-\delta}$ at small momenta. The 2-loop sums, however, have a more general structure. To cover the different cases we use the notation[16]

$$X_A = \sum_r \left(X_{A;r} + X_{A;rx} \ln N \right) N^{-r} \,. \tag{6.19}$$

6.1.1 Leading terms

In many cases the infinite volume limit $L \to \infty$ of the sums (provided the limit exists) can be computed to arbitrary precision using recursion relations in coordinate space. This observation was first made by Vohwinkel and described in detail for $d = 4$ in ref. [8] and later for $d = 2$ in [9] and for $d = 3$ in [10]. Some results for $d = 4$ are given in tables 11 ,12. (According to (6.19) the infinite volume limit is denoted by $I_{nm;0}$, $J_{nm;0}$, etc.)

nm	$I_{nm;0}$
00	1
10	0.154933390231060214084837208 1
11	0.25
12	0.706624237521511983879301396 6
13	2.293038797105335678485026978 3
14	7.905401357872848326878756764 4
21	0.038733347557765053521209302 0
22	0.095066609768939785915162791 9
23	0.293514914118783111419253960 8
24	0.986933672576019642339082812 1
25	3.466796962320772378080980609 5
32	0.016200386790059471402983417 8
33	0.043668114255882510963468557 0

Table 11. Values for $I_{nm;0}$ for $d = 4$. (For notation cf. (6.19))

6.2 Expansion coefficients

To determine the expansion coefficients of the 1-loop sums we have applied two methods. One is simply to compute the sums to a high precision for a large range of N and fit the data to the expected form, inserting the precisely known leading term when available. Alternatively we obtain the coefficients analytically as integrals involving the theta function (3.52), as described in the next subsection.

[16] The 2-loop sum W_{3a} for $d = 2$ given in (6.102) is an exception, it has an extra $\ln^2 N/(8\pi^2)$ term.

nm	$J_{nm;0}$
10	2.82649695008604793551720558 64
11	6
12	18.56737200261002634866239607 39
21	0.70662423752151198387930139 66
22	2.10658674395252755041843719 75
23	7.02758331993317412487583864 02
31	0.09506660976893978591516279 19
32	0.26656059380377995969825349 15
nml	$J_{nml;0}$
213	2.29303879710533567848502697 83
214	7.90540135787284832687875676 44
313	0.29351491411878311141925396 08
314	0.98693367257601964233908281 21
323	0.86583042270399863423561394 27
324	2.99082522296981178088867710 47
nm	$K_{nm;0}$
21	18.56737200261002634866239607 39
31	2.10658674395252755041843719 75
32	6.48615868955114102073537773 72

Table 12. Values for $J_{nm;0}, J_{nml;0}, K_{nm;0}$ for $d = 4$.

6.2.1 Lattice analogue of the theta function

Consider the Fourier transform of $f(\theta)$ defined on the interval $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$:

$$\tilde{f}_m = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \mathrm{e}^{-im\theta} \,, \qquad f(\theta) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_m \mathrm{e}^{im\theta} \,. \tag{6.20}$$

Multiplying the equation

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-imN\theta} = \frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(\theta - \frac{2\pi}{N} n\right) \,. \tag{6.21}$$

by $(2\pi)^{-1} f(\theta)$ and integrating over θ one obtains

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f\left(\frac{2\pi}{N} n\right) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{mN} \,. \tag{6.22}$$

Using this relation one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} Q_N(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp\left(-z\hat{p}_k^2\right) &= \mathrm{e}^{-2z} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} I_{mN}(2z) \\ &= \phi_0(z) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi_{mN}(z) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

where $\hat{p}_k = 2\sin(\pi k/N)$ and

$$\phi_n(z) = \mathrm{e}^{-2z} I_n(2z) \tag{6.24}$$

where $I_n(z)$ is the modified Bessel function, which for integer n is given by

$$I_n(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \mathrm{e}^{z\cos\theta}\cos(n\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta\,. \tag{6.25}$$

For convenience of the reader we have summarized some properties of I_n that we use in appendix D. For fixed z, $Q_N(z)$ approaches $\phi_0(z)$ exponentially fast. The approach becomes slower with increasing z, but the expansion (D.7) shows that even when the argument increases slower than N^2 one still has

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\left(Q_N(cN^\alpha) - \phi_0(cN^\alpha)\right) = 0 \qquad \text{for } \alpha < 2\,, \tag{6.26}$$

with the difference decreasing faster than any inverse power of N. This is not true for $z \propto N^2$, and for this case one obtains another scaling function.

We introduce the lattice counterpart of $S(x)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} S_N(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp\left(-xN^2\hat{p}_k^2/(4\pi)\right) \\ &= N\mathrm{e}^{-xN^2/(2\pi)} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} I_{mN}(xN^2/(2\pi)) \\ &= NQ_N\left(\frac{xN^2}{4\pi}\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

or equivalently

$$Q_N(z) = \frac{1}{N} S_N\left(\frac{4\pi z}{N^2}\right)\,. \tag{6.28}$$

In the large N limit one has

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} S_N(x) = S(x)\,. \tag{6.29}$$

Note that the $N\to\infty$ limit is not uniform in x. Since $S_N(0) = N$ while $S(x) \sim 1/\sqrt{x}$ for small x, one expects that $S_N(x) \approx S(x)$ holds for $N \gg 1/\sqrt{x}$.

Similarly to the continuum case, the first representation in (6.27) converges very fast for $x \geq 1$ while the second one for $x \leq 1$. In both cases one needs only a few terms in the corresponding sum. For $Q_N(z)$ in (6.23) this corresponds to $z > z_0(N)$ and $z < z_0(N)$ with $z_0(N) = N^2/(4\pi)$.

The relatively slowly convergent lattice sums I_{nm} defined in (6.7) can be calculated using $S_N(x)$. For $m = 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n0} &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}z\, z^{n-1}\left[\prod_\mu Q_{N_\mu}(z) - \frac{1}{V}\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{N^2}{4\pi}\right)^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)V}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\, x^{n-1}\left[\prod_\mu S_{N_\mu}\left(\frac{x}{\ell_\mu^2}\right) - 1\right]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.30}$$

where $\ell_\mu = N_\mu/N$. (N is arbitrary and one can choose it to be the spatial size, $N = N_s$).

For $m > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{nm} &= \frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}z\, z^{n-1} Q_{N_0}^{(m)}(z) \prod_{\mu\neq 0} Q_{N_\mu}(z) \\ &= \frac{\left(N^2\right)^{n-m-d/2} (-1)^m}{(4\pi)^{n-m}\Gamma(n)\ell_0^{2m+1}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\, x^{n-1} S_{N_0}^{(m)}\left(\frac{x}{\ell_0^2}\right) \prod_{\mu\neq 0} S_{N_\mu}\left(\frac{x}{\ell_\mu^2}\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

It is useful to split the integral and write (for $\ell_1 = \ldots = \ell_{d-1} = 1$, $\ell_0 = \ell$ and general d)

$$\begin{aligned} I_{nm} = &\frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^{z_0} \mathrm{d}z\, z^{n-1} \left[Q_{N_0}^{(m)}(z) Q_N^{d-1}(z) - \frac{\delta_{m0}}{N^d \ell} \right] \\ &+ \frac{\left(N^2\right)^{n-m-d/2} (-1)^m}{(4\pi)^{n-m}\Gamma(n)\ell^{2m+1}} \int_{x_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}x\, x^{n-1} \left[S_{N_0}^{(m)}\left(\frac{x}{\ell^2}\right) S_N^{d-1}(x) - \delta_{m0} \right] , \end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

where $x_0 = 4\pi z_0/N^2$.

To obtain the expansion of I_{nm} for large N we need the asymptotic expansion of $S_N(x)$ (6.33) in the next subsection.

6.2.2 Asymptotic behavior for $N \to \infty$

As discussed before, for $z = z_N \propto N^\alpha$ with $\alpha < 2$ the correction term $Q_N(z_N) - \phi_0(z_N)$ goes to zero exponentially fast for $N \to \infty$.

Expanding (6.27) in $1/N^2$ one obtains an asymptotic expansion

$$S_N^{\mathrm{as}}(x) = S(x) + \frac{1}{N^2}\overline{S}_1(x) + \frac{1}{N^4}\overline{S}_2(x) + \ldots \tag{6.33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{S}_1(x) &= \frac{\pi}{3} x S''(x) , \\ \overline{S}_2(x) &= \frac{\pi^2}{90}\left(4xS^{(3)}(x) + 5x^2 S^{(4)}(x)\right) , \\ \overline{S}_3(x) &= \frac{\pi^3}{5670}\left(18xS^{(4)}(x) + 84x^2 S^{(5)}(x) + 35x^3 S^{(6)}(x)\right) , \\ \overline{S}_4(x) &= \frac{\pi^4}{340200}\left(48xS^{(5)}(x) + 696x^2 S^{(6)}(x) + 840x^3 S^{(7)}(x) + 175x^4 S^{(8)}(x)\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{6.34}$$

As mentioned above the expansion (6.33) is not uniform.

We will also need the behavior of the corresponding terms at $x = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{S}_1 &\sim \frac{\pi}{4} x^{-3/2} , & \overline{S}_2 &\sim \frac{9\pi^2}{32} x^{-5/2} , \\ \overline{S}_3 &\sim \frac{75\pi^3}{128} x^{-7/2} , & \overline{S}_4 &\sim \frac{3675\pi^4}{2048} x^{-9/2} . \end{aligned} \tag{6.35}$$

Introducing $z = yN^\alpha$ with $0 < \alpha < 2$ one has

$$S_N^{\mathrm{as}}\left(4\pi N^{\alpha-2} y\right) \sim N\phi_0\left(N^\alpha y\right) . \tag{6.36}$$

This means that the singularity of $S_N^{\rm as}(x)$ at $x \sim 0$ matches the asymptotic behavior of $\phi_0(z) = \mathrm{e}^{-2z} I_0(2z)$ for $z \to \infty$. The relation can be checked using the asymptotic form (D.6) and $S(x) = x^{-1/2}\left[1 + \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\pi/x}\right)\right]$.

The relation between the $x > 1$ and $x < 1$ regions for $S(x)$ is given in (2.23). Differentiating it one obtains the corresponding relations between the derivatives of $S(x)$.

At $x = x_0(N)$ the difference $S_N(x) - S(x)$ changes sign: for $x < x_0(N)$ one has $S_N(x) < S(x)$ while for $x > x_0(N)$ one has $S_N(x) > S(x)$. Interestingly, for $N \geq 8$ one has with a very good precision $x_0(N) \approx 4\pi z_0/N^2$ where $z_0 = 0.06447351504$.

For $N \to \infty$, $N_0 = \ell N$ in d-dimensions one has for the bracket appearing in the integrand of (6.32)

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{N_0}^{(m)}\left(\frac{x}{\ell^2}\right) S_N^{d-1}(x) - \delta_{m0} \\ & \quad \sim \Psi_m(x;\ell) = \Phi_{0m}(x;\ell) + \frac{1}{N^2}\Phi_{1m}(x;\ell) + \frac{1}{N^4}\Phi_{2m}(x;\ell) + \dots , \end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

where

$$\Phi_{0m}(x;\ell) = S^{d-1}(x) S^{(m)}\left(\frac{x}{\ell^2}\right) - \delta_{m0} , \tag{6.38}$$

$$\Phi_{1m}(x;\ell) = S^{d-1}(x)\overline{S}_1^{(m)}\left(\frac{x}{\ell^2}\right)\frac{1}{\ell^2} + (d-1)S^{d-2}(x)\overline{S}_1(x)S^{(m)}\left(\frac{x}{\ell^2}\right) , \tag{6.39}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{2m}(x;\ell) = {} & S^{d-1}(x)\overline{S}_2^{(m)}\left(\frac{x}{\ell^2}\right)\frac{1}{\ell^4} + (d-1)S^{d-2}(x)\overline{S}_1(x)\overline{S}_1^{(m)}\left(\frac{x}{\ell^2}\right)\frac{1}{\ell^2} \\ & + (d-1)S^{d-2}(x)\overline{S}_2(x)S^{(m)}\left(\frac{x}{\ell^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2)S^{d-3}(x)\overline{S}_1^2(x)S^{(m)}\left(\frac{x}{\ell^2}\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{6.40}$$

Their leading singularity for $x \to 0$ is given by

$$\Phi_{0m}^{\rm sing}(x;\ell) = (-1)^m \frac{\Gamma(m+1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)}\ell^{2m+1}x^{-(m+d/2)} , \tag{6.41}$$

$$\Phi_{1m}^{\rm sing}(x;\ell) = (-1)^m \frac{\pi}{4}\frac{\Gamma(m+1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)}(2m+d)\ell^{2m+1}x^{-(m+1+d/2)} , \tag{6.42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{2m}^{\rm sing}(x;\ell) = {} & (-1)^m \frac{\pi^2}{32}\frac{\Gamma(m+1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)}(12m^2 + 20m + 4dm + 8d + d^2) \\ & \times \ell^{2m+1}x^{-(m+2+d/2)} . \end{aligned} \tag{6.43}$$

As illustrated in the next subsection, for the case when I_{nm} has a finite $N \to \infty$ limit (i.e. $2(n-m) < d$) one obtains[17]

$$I_{nm} = \frac{(-1)^m N^{2(n-m)-d}}{(4\pi)^{n-m}\Gamma(n)\ell^{2m+1}}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\, x^{n-1}\left[\Psi_m(x;\ell) - \Psi_m^{\rm sing}(x;\ell)\right] . \tag{6.44}$$

Expanding in powers of $1/N^2$ one gets for the coefficient of $N^{-\nu}$

$$I_{nm;\nu} = \frac{(-1)^m}{(4\pi)^{n-m}\Gamma(n)\ell^{2m+1}}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\, x^{n-1}\left[\Phi_{km}(x;\ell) - \Phi_{km}^{\rm sing}(x;\ell)\right] , \tag{6.45}$$

where $k = 0, 1, \dots$ and $\nu = 2(k+m-n) - d$.

[17] Eq.s (6.44), (6.45) give the correct answer for some higher coefficients even when $2(n-m) \geq d$, but these cases need a special treatment, like for I_{20} in $d = 4$ discussed below.

6.2.3 Examples: $I_{10}\,, I_{21}$ for $d > 2$

Consider I_{10} for $d > 2$ in a $N_0 \times N^{d_s}$ volume ($N_0 = L_0/a = N\ell, N = L_s/a$):

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10} &= \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}z \left[Q_{N\ell}(z) Q_N(z)^{d_s} - \frac{1}{N^d \ell} \right] \\ &= \int_0^{z_0} \mathrm{d}z Q_{N\ell}(z) Q_N(z)^{d_s} - \frac{z_0}{N^d \ell} + \int_{z_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}z \left[Q_{N\ell}(z) Q_N(z)^{d_s} - \frac{1}{N^d \ell} \right] . \end{aligned} \tag{6.46}$$

One can show that for $z_0 \propto N^2$ only the first term contributes to the constant piece $I_{10;0}$ and one obtains[18]

$$I_{10;0} = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}z \left[\phi_0(z) \right]^d . \tag{6.47}$$

To calculate the $1/N^r$ corrections we consider the differences

$$\Delta_A(N, z_0) = \int_0^{z_0} \mathrm{d}z \left[Q_{N\ell}(z) Q_N(z)^{d_s} - \phi_0(z)^d \right] , \tag{6.48}$$

and

$$\Delta_B(N, z_0) = \int_{z_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}z \left[Q_{N\ell}(z) Q_N(z)^{d_s} - Q^{\mathrm{as}}_{N\ell}(z) Q^{\mathrm{as}}_N(z)^{d_s} \right] , \tag{6.49}$$

where

$$Q^{\mathrm{as}}_N(z) = \frac{1}{N} S^{\mathrm{as}}_N \left(\frac{4\pi z}{N^2} \right) . \tag{6.50}$$

For $z_0 = z_0(N) = cN^\alpha$, where $1 < \alpha < 2$ both integrals (6.48) and (6.49) go to zero for $N \to \infty$ exponentially fast.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10} - I_{10;0} = &- \int_{z_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}z\, \phi_0^d(z) - \frac{z_0}{N^d \ell} + \int_{z_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}z \left[Q^{\mathrm{as}}_{N\ell}(z) Q^{\mathrm{as}}_N(z)^{d_s} - \frac{1}{N^d \ell} \right] \\ &+ \Delta_A(N, z_0) + \Delta_B(N, z_0) . \end{aligned} \tag{6.51}$$

Here the z_0 dependence should cancel, i.e. $Q^{\mathrm{as}}_N(z_0)$ and $\phi_0(z_0)$ should have the same asymptotic behavior for $z_0(N) = cN^\alpha$ and $N \to \infty$. Note that the argument $x_0(N) = 4\pi z_0(N)/N^2 \propto N^{\alpha-2}$ of the functions $S(x)$ in (6.50) goes to zero in this limit. Hence the contributions from the large-z asymptotic of $\phi_0(z)$ and the small-x asymptotic of $S^{\mathrm{as}}_N(x)/N$ cancel each other. This is indeed the case, one has[19]

$$\frac{1}{N} S^{\mathrm{as}}_N \left(\frac{4\pi z_0}{N^2} \right) \sim \phi_0(z_0) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi z_0}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{16 z_0} + \frac{9}{512 z_0^2} + \dots \right) . \tag{6.52}$$

With $x_0 = 4\pi z_0/N^2$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{z_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}z \left[Q^{\mathrm{as}}_{N\ell}(z) Q^{\mathrm{as}}_N(z)^{d_s} - \frac{1}{N^d \ell} \right] = \frac{1}{4\pi N^{d-2} \ell} \int_{x_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}x \left[S^{\mathrm{as}}_{N\ell} \left(\frac{x}{\ell^2} \right) S^{\mathrm{as}}_N(x)^{d_s} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi N^{d-2} \ell} \int_{x_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}x \left\{ \Phi_{00}(x; \ell) + \frac{1}{N^2} \Phi_{10}(x; \ell) + \frac{1}{N^4} \Phi_{20}(x; \ell) + \dots \right\} . \end{aligned} \tag{6.53}$$

[18] The result of numerical integration with MAPLE for $d = 4$ agrees to 27 digits with the exact value given in table 11.

[19] The N-dependence on $Q^{\mathrm{as}}_N(z)$ cancels in the asymptotic expansion.

The subtraction of the integral of $\phi_0(z)^d$ amounts to subtracting from each term its singular part for $x \to 0$. So finally we have for $d > 2$

$$I_{10} = I_{10;0} + \frac{1}{N^{d-2}} I_{10;d-2} + \frac{1}{N^d} I_{10;d} + \frac{1}{N^{d+2}} I_{10;d+2} + \dots \,, \tag{6.54}$$

where

$$I_{10;d-2} = \frac{1}{4\pi\ell} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \, \left[\Phi_{00}(x;\ell) - \frac{\ell}{x^{d/2}} \right] \,, \tag{6.55}$$

$$I_{10;d} = \frac{1}{4\pi\ell} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \, \left[\Phi_{10}(x;\ell) - \frac{\pi d\ell}{4x^{d/2+1}} \right] \,, \tag{6.56}$$

$$I_{10;d+2} = \frac{1}{4\pi\ell} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \, \left[\Phi_{20}(x;\ell) - \frac{\pi^2 \ell d(d+8)}{32x^{d/2+2}} \right] \,. \tag{6.57}$$

Comparing (6.55) with β_1 given in (2.42) and (2.46) for $d = 3, 4$ we obtain

$$I_{10;d-2} = -\beta_1 \,, \qquad \text{for } d = 3, 4 \,, \tag{6.58}$$

relating coefficients of the lattice expansion to shape coefficients in DR. This is just one example of many such relations.

Repeating the steps used above one gets for the expansion coefficients of I_{21}:

$$I_{21} = \frac{1}{d} I_{10;0} + \frac{1}{N^{d-2}} I_{21;d-2} + \frac{1}{N^d} I_{21;d} + \dots \,, \qquad d > 2 \,, \tag{6.59}$$

with

$$I_{21;d-2} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\ell^3} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \, x \left[\Phi_{01}(x;\ell) + \frac{\ell^3}{2x^{(d+2)/2}} \right] \,. \tag{6.60}$$

Again, for $d = 3, 4$ $I_{21;d-2}$ is related to the corresponding DR shape coefficient e.g.

$$I_{21;2} = \frac{1}{8\pi}(\gamma_2 - 1) = L^2 \overline{I}_{21} \,, \qquad d = 4 \,. \tag{6.61}$$

Next

$$I_{21;d} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\ell^3} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \, x \left[\Phi_{11}(x;\ell) + \frac{(d+2)\pi\ell^3}{8x^{(d+4)/2}} \right] \,. \tag{6.62}$$

So far in this subsection we have only considered sums which have a finite infinite volume limit. As an example of a sum which does not have this property we consider I_{20}. For $d = 3$ I_{20} is linearly divergent (see (6.74) ,(6.75)).

In the rest of this subsection we only consider the case $d = 4$ for which I_{20} is logarithmically divergent for $N \to \infty$. Here we will separate the $\sim \log(N)$ and the constant terms[20]. Restricting also to $\ell = 1$ we have

$$I_{20} = \int_0^{z_0} \mathrm{d}z \, z \left(Q_N^4(z) - \frac{1}{N^4} \right) + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{x_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}x \, x \left(S_N^4(x) - 1 \right) \tag{6.63}$$

[20]For our work in ref. [1] the constant term is actually needed only for the renormalization.

where $x_0 = 4\pi z_0/N^2$. Choosing $z_0 = cN^{2-\epsilon}$ with some fixed small $\epsilon > 0$ in the first term one could replace $Q_N(z)$ by $\phi_0(z)$ up to exponentially small corrections.

One has

$$\begin{aligned} I_{20}^A &= \int_0^{z_0} \mathrm{d}z\, z \left(Q_N^4(z) - \frac{1}{N^4} \right) \sim \int_0^{z_0} \mathrm{d}z\, z \phi_0^4(z) - \frac{z_0^2}{2N^4} \\ &= \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z\, z \phi_0^4(z) + \int_1^{z_0} \mathrm{d}z\, z \left(\phi_0^4(z) - \frac{1}{16\pi^2 z^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \log z_0 - \frac{z_0^2}{2N^4} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.64}$$

In the second integral of (6.63) one can replace $S_N(x)$ by $S(x)$ up to $\mathrm{O}\left(1/N^2\right)$ correction.

$$\begin{aligned} I_{20}^B &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x\, x \left(S_N^4(x) - 1 \right) \sim \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x\, x \left(S^4(x) - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{x_0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x\, x \left(S^4(x) - \frac{1}{x^2} \right) + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_1^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x\, x \left(S^4(x) - 1 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1 - x_0^2}{32\pi^2} - \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \log x_0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.65}$$

Adding the two terms and for large N one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} I_{20} &= \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z\, z \phi_0^4(z) + \int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d}z\, z \left(\phi_0^4(z) - \frac{1}{16\pi^2 z^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x\, x \left(S^4(x) - \frac{1}{x^2} \right) + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_1^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x\, x \left(S^4(x) - 1 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{32\pi^2} + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \log \left(\frac{N^2}{4\pi} \right) + \mathrm{O}\left(1/N^2\right) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.66}$$

Evaluating the integrals one has

$$I_{20} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \log N + 0.010040981405494708476201 08 + \mathrm{O}\left(1/N^2\right) \,. \tag{6.67}$$

The coefficients $I_{20;2}$ and $I_{20;4}$ for $d = 4$ are given in the next subsection.

One can repeat these steps for the general case of I_{nm}. Using the representation (6.32) one reproduces the form of the expansion given by (6.18). The $\log N$ term comes from the $1/x$ and $1/z$ terms of the corresponding integrands while in the rest one can set $x_0 = 0$ and $z_0 = \infty$, as in (6.64) and (6.65). Finally, the coefficient $N^{2(n-m)-d}$ in front of the second integral in (6.32) reproduces the prefactor $N^{\delta-d}$ of the sum in (6.18).

6.2.4 Expansions for $d = 4$

We are interested in the expansion of the lattice sums for $N^{-1} = a/L \to 0$ (at a fixed aspect ratio ℓ) up to and including the $\mathrm{O}\left(a^4/L^4\right)$ terms. For the sums we require we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{00} &= 1 - \ell^{-1}\, N^{-4} \\
I_{10} &= I_{10;0} + I_{10;2}\, N^{-2} + I_{10;4}\, N^{-4} + \dots \\
I_{11} &= I_{11;0} + I_{11;4}\, N^{-4}\,, \\
I_{20} &= \ln N/(8\pi^2) + I_{20;0} + I_{20;2}\, N^{-2} + I_{20;4}\, N^{-4} + \dots \\
I_{21} &= I_{21;0} + I_{21;2}\, N^{-2} + I_{21;4}\, N^{-4} + \dots \\
I_{22} &= I_{22;0} + I_{22;4}\, N^{-4} + \dots \\
I_{31} &= \ln N/(32\pi^2) + I_{31;0} + I_{31;2}\, N^{-2} + I_{31;4}\, N^{-4} + \dots \\
I_{32} &= I_{32;0} + I_{32;2}\, N^{-2} + I_{32;4}\, N^{-4} + \dots \\
I_{33} &= I_{33;0} + I_{33;4}\, N^{-4} + \dots \\
J_{31} &= J_{31;0} + J_{31;4}\, N^{-4} + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{6.68}$$

The coefficients $I_{nm;\nu}$ can be calculated (at least for the cases with finite infinite-volume limit) from (6.45).

Next consider the lattice sum J_{31} (see (6.8)); for $d = 4$ one has

$$J_{31} = \frac{1}{V} {\sum_p}' \frac{(\hat{p}_0^2)^3}{(\hat{p}^2)^3} + J_{31}^B\,, \tag{6.69}$$

with

$$J_{31}^B = \frac{3}{V} {\sum_p}' \frac{\hat{p}_0^2 (\hat{p}_1^2)^2}{(\hat{p}^2)^3}\,. \tag{6.70}$$

The first term in (6.69) is I_{33}, hence

$$J_{31;4} = I_{33;4} + J_{31;4}^B\,, \quad \text{for } d = 4\,, \tag{6.71}$$

with

$$J_{31;4}^B = -\frac{3}{2\ell^3} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 \left[S(x)^2 S''(x) S'\left(\frac{x}{\ell^2}\right) + \frac{3\ell^3}{8x^5} \right]. \tag{6.72}$$

In tables 13 and 14 we give values of the coefficients above for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $\ell = 4, 5$ respectively using the integral representation (6.45) with MAPLE. We checked that all results agreed to at least 12 digits in all cases with fits of the data (using the precise infinite volume results when available).

	$\ell = 1$	$\ell = 2$	$\ell = 3$
$I_{10;2}$	−0.1404609855453658	−0.0591149364827813	0.0242150467817181
$I_{10;4}$	0.1418858055568331	0.1445281475197173	0.1582885920258339
$I_{11;4}$	−0.25	0.3374033678947278	0.5042033315676824
$I_{20;2}$	0.0014757515175671	0.0122627013456392	0.0261308665866801
$I_{20;4}$	0.0731806946434512	0.0593077967014635	0.0615529993132684
$I_{21;2}$	−0.0351152463863414	0.0537547083123951	0.1371074647314091
$I_{21;4}$	0.0354714513892083	0.1554316713871277	0.1699444287054589
$I_{22;4}$	0.4256574166704993	0.7569495889658734	0.9229739816883220
$I_{31;2}$	0.0003689378793918	0.0167916533065880	0.0307265836535553
$I_{31;4}$	0.0182951736608628	0.0404550162559959	0.0435488531765849
$I_{32;2}$	0.0022136272763506	0.0820388915996510	0.1653308457382867
$I_{32;4}$	0.1647046454257431	0.1861803595341033	0.1990444597971972
$I_{33;4}$	0.5483743850461059	1.0693538988138826	1.2370421989724505
$J_{31;4}$	0.4256574166704993	1.3110648228057027	1.4811535630769142

Table 13. Values for $I_{mn;2}, I_{mn;4}, J_{31;4}$ for $d = 4$ and $\ell = 1, 2, 3$.

	$\ell = 4$	$\ell = 5$
$I_{10;2}$	0.1075483739041892	0.1908817072259303
$I_{10;4}$	0.1652326717601757	0.1693993375068985
$I_{11;4}$	0.5875369102375629	0.6375369106952257
$I_{20;2}$	0.0400196677374950	0.0539085563322884
$I_{20;4}$	0.0628240364390694	0.0635879153141902
$I_{21;2}$	0.2204408534728138	0.3037741869459578
$I_{21;4}$	0.1768917723653977	0.1810584492251718
$I_{22;4}$	1.0063053711184669	1.0563053660562876
$I_{31;2}$	0.0446158019765458	0.0585046924277057
$I_{31;4}$	0.0448289656504658	0.0455929029967931
$I_{32;2}$	0.2486639742820079	0.3319973068786403
$I_{32;4}$	0.2059796377872626	0.2101462558490117
$I_{33;4}$	1.3203816834539149	1.3703817074781796
$J_{31;4}$	1.5645033197516197	1.6145033783791748

Table 14. Values for $I_{mn;2}, I_{mn;4}, J_{31;4}$ for $d = 4$ and $\ell = 4, 5$.

6.2.5 Expansions for $d = 3$

Some infinite volume values are

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10;0} &= 0.252731009859\,, \\ I_{12;0} &= 0.913649942701\,, \\ I_{21;0} &= 0.084243669953\,, \\ I_{22;0} &= 0.164845993428\,, \\ I_{23;0} &= 0.486683859112\,, \\ I_{32;0} &= 0.046176554504\,, \\ I_{33;0} &= 0.096821201585\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.73}$$

Also in this case we determined the coefficients both by fitting the N-dependence and by direct calculation using (6.45), when it was applicable. In all cases we got an agreement within the precision of the fitting procedure. (The worst case was that of $I_{30;1}$ where the fit gave only four significant digits.)

For $\ell = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10} &= I_{10;0} - 0.225784959441\,N^{-1} + 0.0428997562958\,N^{-3} + \dots\,, \\ I_{11} &= \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3}\,N^{-3}\,, \\ I_{12} &= I_{12;0} + \dots\,, \\ I_{20} &= 0.010607528892\,N + 0.012164158583 - 0.0155358881130\,N^{-1} + \dots\,, \\ I_{21} &= I_{21;0} - 0.0752616531469\,N^{-1} + 0.014299918765276429\,N^{-3} + \dots\,, \\ I_{22} &= I_{22;0} + 0.171599025183\,N^{-3} + \dots\,, \\ I_{23} &= I_{23;0} + \dots\,, \\ I_{30} &= 0.000136552463\,N^3 + 0.00191507947957\,N + 0.000837762 \\ &\quad - 0.000388292243730\,N^{-1} + \dots\,, \\ I_{31} &= 0.00353584296399\,N + 0.004054719528 - 0.00517862937101\,N^{-1} + \dots\,, \\ I_{32} &= I_{32;0} - 0.0310717762260\,N^{-1} + 0.0973259651475\,N^{-3} + \dots\,, \\ I_{33} &= I_{33;0} + 0.348442731224\,N^{-3} + \dots\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.74}$$

For $\ell = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10} &= I_{10;0} - 0.143704325288\,N^{-1} + 0.0614336837790\,N^{-3} + \dots\,, \\ I_{11} &= \frac{1}{3} + 0.218784444721\,N^{-3} + \dots\,, \\ I_{12} &= I_{12;0} + \dots\,, \\ I_{20} &= 0.020216123622\,N + 0.012164158583 - 0.00356885724612\,N^{-1} + \dots\,, \\ I_{21} &= I_{21;0} + 0.0114671446126\,N^{-1} + 0.0992873225148\,N^{-3} + \dots\,, \\ I_{22} &= I_{22;0} + 0.578733423280\,N^{-3} + \dots\,, \\ I_{23} &= I_{23;0} + \dots\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.75}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{30} &= 0.001155699930\, N^3 + 0.00424327053578\, N + 0.000837763 \\
&\quad + 0.00161399011894\, N^{-1} + \dots\,, \\
I_{31} &= 0.0133862498578 N + 0.004054719527 + 0.0102078527937\, N^{-1} + \dots\,, \\
I_{32} &= I_{32;0} + 0.0503041764078\, N^{-1} + 0.132427332872\, N^{-3} + \dots\,, \\
I_{33} &= I_{33;0} + 0.847216528219\, N^{-3} + \dots\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here only I_{20}, I_{30} and I_{31} diverge for $N \to \infty$. However, also in these cases the coefficients $I_{20;1}$, $I_{30;-1}$, $I_{30;1}$, $I_{31;-1}$, $I_{31;1}$ are correctly given by (6.45).

The shape coefficients $I_{10;1}$ and $I_{20;-1}$ are related to β_n defined in (2.42) through:

$$
I_{10;1} = -\beta_1\,, \tag{6.76}
$$

$$
I_{20;-1} = \quad \beta_2\,. \tag{6.77}
$$

Further there are relations to $\widetilde{\beta}_n$ defined in [11]:

$$
I_{21;1} = -\frac{1}{6}\widetilde{\beta}_1\,, \tag{6.78}
$$

$$
I_{31;-1} = \quad \frac{1}{12}\widetilde{\beta}_2\,. \tag{6.79}
$$

6.2.6 Expansions for $d = 2$

In this subsection we consider only the $\ell = 1$ case and concentrate on terms which do not vanish in the infinite volume limit.

For the logarithmically divergent sum I_{10} we have

$$
I_{10} = \int_0^{z_0} \mathrm{d}z \left(Q_N^2(z) - \frac{1}{N^2} \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \left(S_N^2(x) - 1 \right)\,, \tag{6.80}
$$

where $x_0 = 4\pi z_0/N^2$. Choosing $z_0 = cN^{2-\epsilon}$ with some fixed small $\epsilon > 0$ in the first term one could replace $Q_N(z)$ by $\phi_0(z)$ up to exponentially small corrections. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{10}^A &= \int_0^{z_0} \mathrm{d}z \left(Q_N^2(z) - \frac{1}{N^2} \right) \sim \int_0^{z_0} \mathrm{d}z \phi_0^2(z) - \frac{z_0}{N^2} \\
&= \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \phi_0^2(z) + \int_1^{z_0} \mathrm{d}z \left(\phi_0^2(z) - \frac{1}{4\pi z} \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \log z_0 - \frac{z_0}{N^2}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.81}
$$

In the second integral of (6.80) one can replace $S_N(x)$ by $S(x)$ up to $\mathrm{O}\left(1/N^2\right)$ correction.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{10}^B &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \left(S_N^2(x) - 1 \right) \sim \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \left(S^2(x) - 1 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{x_0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \left(S^2(x) - \frac{1}{x} \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_1^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \left(S^2(x) - 1 \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1 - x_0}{4\pi} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \log x_0\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.82}
$$

Adding the two terms and for large N one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10} = & \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \phi_0^2(z) + \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}z \left(\phi_0^2(z) - \frac{1}{4\pi z} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \left(S^2(x) - \frac{1}{x} \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_1^\infty \mathrm{d}x \left(S^2(x) - 1 \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \left(\frac{N^2}{4\pi} \right) + \mathrm{O}\left(1/N^2\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{6.83}$$

Evaluating the integrals one has

$$I_{10} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log N + 0.048765633170141301742768467921 + \mathrm{O}\left(1/N^2\right) . \tag{6.84}$$

For $\ell = 1$ we trivially have

$$I_{11} = \frac{1}{2} I_{00} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2N^2} . \tag{6.85}$$

For $n \geq 2$ in the large N limit the leading term of I_{n0} is proportional to N^{2n-2}. The corresponding coefficient is given by (see [12])

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N^{2-2n} I_{n0} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} {\sum_{k_0,k_1=-\infty}^{\infty}}' \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}^2)^n} = \frac{4}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \zeta(n)\beta(n) , \tag{6.86}$$

where $\zeta(n)$ and $\beta(n)$ are Riemann's zeta–function and Dirichlet's beta–function, respectively. In particular, up to $\mathrm{O}\left(N^{-2}\right)$ one has

$$\frac{1}{V} I_{20} = \frac{K}{24\pi^2} + \ldots = 0.0038669465907372100307 + \ldots , \tag{6.87}$$

where $K = 0.91596559417721901505$ is Catalan's constant (since $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$ and $\beta(2) = K$). One also has

$$\frac{1}{V} I_{31} = \frac{1}{2V} I_{20} = 0.0019334732953686050153 + \ldots . \tag{6.88}$$

Alternatively from the integral representation

$$\frac{1}{V} I_{20} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \, x \left[S^2(x) - 1 \right] + \mathrm{O}\left(N^{-2}\right) , \tag{6.89}$$

the evaluation of which agrees to all digits with (6.87).

Further we have

$$I_{21} = \frac{1}{2} I_{10} , \tag{6.90}$$

and [9]

$$I_{22;0} = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}z \, z \phi_0(z) \phi_0''(z) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\pi} . \tag{6.91}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} I_{32} &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{x_0} \mathrm{d}z\, z^2\phi_0(z)\phi_0''(z) + \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 S(x)S''(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{1} \mathrm{d}z\, z^2\phi_0(z)\phi_0''(z) + \frac{1}{2}\int_1^{\infty} \mathrm{d}z\, z^2\left(\phi_0(z)\phi_0''(z) - \frac{3}{16\pi z^3}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_0^{1} \mathrm{d}x\, x^2\left(S(x)S''(x) - \frac{3}{4\pi x^3}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_1^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 S(x)S''(x) + \frac{3}{32\pi}\log\left(\frac{N^2}{4\pi}\right) \\ &= \frac{3}{16\pi}\log N + 0.0212534753416951596\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.92}$$

$$I_{33;0} = -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d}z\, z^2\phi_0(z)\phi_0^{(3)}(z) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4\pi}\,. \tag{6.93}$$

6.3 Some 2-loop momentum sums

In this subsection we consider only the following 2-loop lattice sums which appear in the computations [1]:

$$W_{3a} = -\sum_x G(x)^2\nabla_0^2 G(x)\,, \tag{6.94}$$

$$W_{3c} = \sum_x \nabla_0 G(x)\left[(\partial_0 G(x))^2 - (\partial_0^* G(x))^2\right] = -\frac{1}{6}\sum_x \left[\Box_0 G(x)\right]^3\,. \tag{6.95}$$

It is sometimes useful to write

$$W_{3a} = \widetilde{W}_{3a} - 2G(0)\sum_x G(x)\nabla_0^2 G(x)\,, \tag{6.96}$$

where

$$\widetilde{W}_{3a} = -\sum_x \left[G(x) - G(0)\right]^2 \nabla_0^2 G(x)\,. \tag{6.97}$$

Using $\nabla_0^2 = \Box_0 + \frac{1}{4}\Box_0^2$:

$$\sum_x G(x)\nabla_0^2 G(x) = -I_{21} + \frac{1}{4}I_{22}\,, \tag{6.98}$$

$$\widetilde{W}_{3a} = -\sum_x \left[G(x) - G(0)\right]^2 \Box_0 G(x) + \frac{1}{2}A_1\,, \tag{6.99}$$

where

$$A_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_x \left[G(x) - G(0)\right]^2 \Box_0^2 G(x)\,. \tag{6.100}$$

For the symmetric case $\ell = 1$ we have

$$W_{3a} = I_{10}\left(\frac{1}{d}I_{10} - \frac{1}{2}I_{22}\right) - \frac{1}{dV}I_{20} + \frac{1}{2}A_1\,, \quad \ell = 1\,. \tag{6.101}$$

6.3.1 Case $d = 2$

In this case we only consider the symmetric case where we can use (6.101) and previous results to obtain

$$W_{3a} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\ln^2 N + \frac{\ln N}{2\pi}\left(I_{10;0} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\right) + W_{3a;0} + \mathrm{O}\left(1/N^2\right)\,, \quad \ell = 1\,, \tag{6.102}$$

with

$$W_{3a;0} = \frac{1}{2}I_{10;0}\left(I_{10;0} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\right) - \frac{K}{48\pi^2} + \frac{1}{2}a_1\,, \quad \ell = 1\,, \tag{6.103}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= -\frac{1}{4}\int_{k,l} \frac{E_{k+l} - E_k - E_l}{E_k E_l E_{k+l}^2} \sum_\mu \widehat{(k+l)}_\mu^4 \\ &= 0.046163629243917762(1)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.104}$$

where $E_k = \hat{k}^2$. Putting in the numerical value for $I_{10;0}$ for $\ell = 1$ we obtain

$$W_{3a;0} = 0.014026622309314391 5(1)\,, \quad \ell = 1\,. \tag{6.105}$$

For the other double sum

$$W_{3c} = W_{3c;0} + \mathrm{O}\left(N^{-2}\right)\,, \tag{6.106}$$

where $W_{3c;0}$ is the infinite volume sum which can be obtained for example by computing W_{3c} for $\ell = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} -6W_{3c}(\ell = 1) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_x \left\{[\Box_0 G(x)]^3 + [\Box_1 G(x)]^3\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_x \{\Box_0 G(x) + \Box_1 G(x)\}\left\{[\Box_0 G(x)]^2 - \Box_0 G(x)\Box_1 G(x) + [\Box_1 G(x)]^2\right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_x \left\{\delta(x) - \frac{1}{V}\right\}\left\{[\Box_0 G(x)]^2 - \Box_0 G(x)\Box_1 G(x) + [\Box_1 G(x)]^2\right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{8}\left(1 - \frac{1}{V}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2V}\sum_x \left\{[\Box_0 G(x)]^2 - \Box_0 G(x)\Box_1 G(x) + [\Box_1 G(x)]^2\right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{8} + \mathrm{O}\left(1/V\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.107}$$

So

$$W_{3c;0} = \frac{1}{48}\,, \quad d = 2\,. \tag{6.108}$$

6.3.2 Case $d = 3$

We have determined the expansions by fitting the sums for a range of values of N for fixed ℓ. For the leading terms we have

$$W_{3a;0} = 0.007958105980\,, \tag{6.109}$$

$$W_{3c;0} = 0.005702360998\,. \tag{6.110}$$

The large N expansions are of the form:

$$W_{3a}[\ell=1] = W_{3a;0} - 0.019432034237\frac{1}{N} + 0.013457106339\frac{1}{N^2} + \mathrm{O}\left(N^{-3}\right) , \tag{6.111}$$

$$W_{3a}[\ell=2] = W_{3a;0} - 0.012367818370\frac{1}{N} + 0.012044486715\frac{1}{N^2} + \mathrm{O}\left(N^{-3}\right) , \tag{6.112}$$

and

$$W_{3c} = W_{3c;0} + \mathrm{O}\left(N^{-3}\right) . \tag{6.113}$$

The coefficient $W_{3a;2}$ is related to $\psi, \beta_1, \overline{\beta}_1$ defined in [11] through

$$W_{3a;2} = \psi + \frac{1}{3}\beta_1\overline{\beta}_1 . \tag{6.114}$$

6.3.3 Case $d = 4$

Again we have obtained the expansions by fitting the sums for a range of N for fixed ℓ. For the infinite volume limit we obtained

$$W_{3a;0} = 0.00185079234602140 7306 , \tag{6.115}$$

$$W_{3c;0} = 0.00227599909081849438 . \tag{6.116}$$

The expansion of W_{3a} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{3a}[\ell=1] &= W_{3a;0} - 0.004204473492568\, N^{-2} \\ &\quad - (32\pi^2)^{-1}\, N^{-4} \ln N + 0.0077108092\, N^{-4} + \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{6.117}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{3a}[\ell=2] &= W_{3a;0} - 0.0017695104622235\, N^{-2} \\ &\quad + 0.0081775349546087202407\, N^{-4} \ln N + 0.004168882181\, N^{-4} + \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{6.118}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{3a}[\ell=3] &= W_{3a;0} + 0.00072483841\, N^{-2} \\ &\quad + 0.011346635653943\, N^{-4} \ln N + 0.0131674\, N^{-4} + \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{6.119}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{3a}[\ell=4] &= W_{3a;0} + 0.0032192873\, N^{-2} \\ &\quad + 0.01292978432706041335\, N^{-4} \ln N + 0.033262\, N^{-4} + \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{6.120}$$

The coefficient of $N^{-4}\ln N$ can be obtained from the DR calculation. This gives

$$W_{3a;4x} = \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\left(\frac{1}{\ell} - 10\ddot{g}(0;\ell)\right) . \tag{6.121}$$

The fitting procedure reproduces this value.

For W_{3c} we obtain:

$$W_{3c}[\ell=1] = W_{3c;0} - 0.01188332621\, N^{-4} + \ldots \tag{6.122}$$

$$W_{3c}[\ell=2] = W_{3c;0} + 0.01603789713\, N^{-4} + \ldots \tag{6.123}$$

$$W_{3c}[\ell=3] = W_{3c;0} + 0.02396645060\, N^{-4} + \ldots \tag{6.124}$$

$$W_{3c}[\ell=4] = W_{3c;0} + 0.027927570\, N^{-4} + \ldots \tag{6.125}$$

The computation in [1] required the following relations for consistency:

$$W_{3a;2} = \frac{1}{8}\,(8\,I_{10;0} - 1)\,I_{10;2}\,, \tag{6.126}$$

$$W_{3a;4x} = \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\left(10\,I_{11;4} + \frac{1}{\ell}\right)\,, \tag{6.127}$$

$$W_{3c;4} = -\frac{1}{8}\,(4\,I_{10;0} - 1)\,I_{11;4}\,. \tag{6.128}$$

These are satisfied by the numerical values above.

Acknowledgments

We like to thank Christoph Weiermann for collaboration at an early stage of the work. We would also like to thank Janos Balog, Peter Hasenfratz, Heiri Leutwyler and Martin Lüscher for useful discussions.

A Derivation of formulae for $g(0)$ and $\ddot{g}(0)$ up to $\mathrm{O}\,(D-4)$

Using (3.56), (2.22) and (2.28) we have

$$g(0)L^{D-2} = \frac{1}{4\pi\mathcal{V}_D}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}u\,\left[\mathcal{S}_D\left(\frac{u}{\ell^2}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{sub}} - \frac{1}{4\pi\mathcal{V}_D} - \frac{1}{2\pi(D-2)}\,. \tag{A.1}$$

Expanding in q one gets (3.59) and (3.60).

To calculate the second derivatives we use the relations

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}S(v,z)\Big|_{z=0} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(4\pi^2v^2n^2 - 2\pi v\right)\mathrm{e}^{-\pi v n^2} = -2\pi v\,(2vT(v)+1)\,S(v)\,, \tag{A.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\nu^2}S\left(\frac{\ell_\nu^2}{u},\frac{x_\nu}{L_\nu}\right)\Big|_{x_\nu=0} = -\frac{2\pi l_\nu^2}{L^2u}\left[\frac{2\ell_\nu^2}{u}T\left(\frac{\ell_\nu^2}{u}\right)+1\right]S\left(\frac{\ell_\nu^2}{u}\right)\,. \tag{A.3}$$

From (3.55) one obtains then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\nu^2 g(0)L^D &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{u^{D/2+1}}\left\{\left[\frac{2\ell_\nu^2}{u}T\left(\frac{\ell_\nu^2}{u}\right)+1\right]\mathcal{S}_D\left(\frac{\ell^2}{u}\right)-1\right\}\\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}u\left\{\frac{1}{\ell_\nu^2\mathcal{V}_D}T\left(\frac{u}{\ell_\nu^2}\right)\mathcal{S}_D\left(\frac{u}{\ell^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2u^{D/2+1}}\right\}\\ &= \frac{1}{\ell_\nu^2\mathcal{V}_D}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}u\left[T\left(\frac{u}{\ell_\nu^2}\right)\mathcal{S}_D\left(\frac{u}{\ell^2}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{sub}} + \frac{1}{D}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Expanding in q one gets (3.61) and (3.62).

B Evaluation of Ψ for the massive case in DR

Here we first considered the integral over the torus $\mathcal{T} = \prod_{\mu=0}^{d-1} S^1(L_\mu)[S^1(\widehat{L})]^q$:

$$\overline{W}(M) = -\int_{x\in\mathcal{T}} [G(x,M)]^2 \partial_\nu^2 G(x,M)\,, \quad \text{no sum over } \nu\,, \tag{B.1}$$

with the massive free propagator $G(x,M)$.

Using the Schwinger representation:

$$\overline{W}(M) = \int_{x\in\mathcal{T}} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{3}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t_j\right] \mathcal{W}\,, \tag{B.2}$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathrm{e}^{-M^2(t_1+t_2+t_3)} \frac{1}{V_D}\sum_{p_1} p_{1\nu}^2 \exp\left\{ip_1 x - p_1^2 t_1\right\} \prod_{k=2}^{3}\left(\frac{1}{V_D}\sum_{p_k}\exp\left\{ip_k x - p_k^2 t_k\right\}\right)\,. \tag{B.3}$$

We then break the t_k integrations into parts ($t_0 = L^2/(4\pi)$):

$$\overline{W} = \overline{W}^{(1)} + 2\overline{W}^{(2)} + \overline{W}^{(3)} + \overline{W}^{(4)} + 2\overline{W}^{(5)} + \overline{W}^{(6)}\,, \tag{B.4}$$

with

$$\overline{W}^{(1)} = \int_{x\in\mathcal{T}}\int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_2 \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_3\, \mathcal{W}\,, \tag{B.5}$$

$$\overline{W}^{(2)} = \int_{x\in\mathcal{T}}\int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_2 \int_{0}^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t_3\, \mathcal{W}\,, \tag{B.6}$$

$$\overline{W}^{(3)} = \int_{x\in\mathcal{T}}\int_{0}^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_2 \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_3\, \mathcal{W}\,, \tag{B.7}$$

$$\overline{W}^{(4)} = \int_{x\in\mathcal{T}}\int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_{0}^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t_2 \int_{0}^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t_3\, \mathcal{W}\,, \tag{B.8}$$

$$\overline{W}^{(5)} = \int_{x\in\mathcal{T}}\int_{0}^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_{0}^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t_2 \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_3\, \mathcal{W}\,, \tag{B.9}$$

$$\overline{W}^{(6)} = \int_{x\in\mathcal{T}}\int_{0}^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_{0}^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t_2 \int_{0}^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t_3\, \mathcal{W}\,, \tag{B.10}$$

and considered these separately. The advantage of this procedure is that in each case the x integration can be done first analytically. The disadvantage over the coordinate space method is that there are many terms to be considered and the integration over the t_i is often quite difficult.

The term $\overline{W}^{(1)}$ is the simplest:

$$\overline{W}^{(1)}(M) = \frac{1}{V_D^2}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{3}\int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_j\right] \mathrm{e}^{-M^2(t_1+t_2+t_3)} \sum_{p_1,p_2} p_{1\nu}^2 \exp\left\{-p_1^2 t_1 - p_2^2 t_2 - (p_1+p_2)^2 t_3\right\}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{V_D^2}\left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi}\right)^3 \frac{4\pi^2}{L_\nu^2}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{3}\int_1^\infty \mathrm{d}t_j\right] \mathrm{e}^{-(t_1+t_2+t_3)z^2/(4\pi)} \\
&\times \sum_{n_1,n_2} n_{1\nu}^2 \exp\left\{-\sum_\mu \frac{\pi}{\ell_\mu^2}\left[n_{1\mu}^2 t_1 + n_{2\mu}^2 t_2 + (n_{1\mu}+n_{2\mu})^2 t_3\right]\right\} \\
&= \frac{L^{4-2D}}{16\pi \mathcal{V}^2 \hat{\ell}^{2q} \ell_\nu^2} \sum_{m,n} m_\nu^2 R(z, m/\ell) R(z, n/\ell) R(z, (m+n)/\ell)\,, \qquad \text{(B.11)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z = ML$ and

$$
R(z,v) \equiv \frac{\exp\{-r(z,v)\}}{r(z,v)}\,, \qquad \text{(B.12)}
$$

$$
r(z,v) \equiv \frac{z^2}{4\pi} + \pi v^2\,. \qquad \text{(B.13)}
$$

Splitting off the terms in the double sum with $n = 0$ and $n + m = 0$ we can separate the singularities as $M \to 0$ and the remaining sums can be computed accurately.

Next we turn to $\overline{W}^{(2)}$; using the Poisson summation formula (3.49) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{W}^{(2)}(M) = \frac{1}{V_D^2}\int_{x\in\mathcal{T}}\int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_2 \int_0^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t_3\, \mathrm{e}^{-M^2(t_1+t_2+t_3)} \\
\times (4\pi t_3)^{-D/2} \sum_n \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_\mu (x_\mu + n_\mu L_\mu)^2}{4t_3}\right) \\
\times \sum_{p_1} p_{1\nu}^2 \exp\left\{ip_1 x - p_1^2 t_1\right\} \sum_{p_2} \exp\left\{ip_2 x - p_2^2 t_2\right\}\,. \qquad \text{(B.14)}
\end{aligned}
$$

An important step now, which is also used for the remaining $\overline{W}^{(a)}$, is to use translation invariance to replace the x integration over $\mathcal{T}$ by an integration over $\mathbb{R}^D$ which is readily performed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{W}^{(2)}(M) &= \frac{1}{V_D^2}\int_{x\in\mathbb{R}^D}\int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_2 \int_0^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t_3\, \mathrm{e}^{-M^2(t_1+t_2+t_3)} (4\pi t_3)^{-D/2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4t_3}\right) \\
&\times \sum_{p_1} p_{1\nu}^2 \exp\left\{ip_1 x - p_1^2 t_1\right\} \sum_{p_2} \exp\left\{ip_2 x - p_2^2 t_2\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{V_D^2}\int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_{t_0}^\infty \mathrm{d}t_2 \int_0^{t_0} \mathrm{d}t_3\, \mathrm{e}^{-M^2(t_1+t_2+t_3)} \\
&\times \sum_{p_1,p_2} p_{1\nu}^2 \exp\left\{-p_1^2 t_1 - p_2^2 t_2 - (p_1+p_2)^2 t_3\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{V_D^2}\left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi}\right)^3 \frac{4\pi^2}{L_\nu^2} \int_1^\infty \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_1^\infty \mathrm{d}t_2 \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t_3\, \mathrm{e}^{-(t_1+t_2+t_3)z^2/(4\pi)} \\
&\times \sum_{n_1,n_2} n_{1\nu}^2 \exp\left\{-\sum_\mu \frac{\pi}{\ell_\mu^2}\left[n_{1\mu}^2 t_1 + n_{2\mu}^2 t_2 + (n_1+n_2)_\mu^2 t_3\right]\right\} \\
&= \frac{L^{4-2D}}{16\pi \mathcal{V}^2 \hat{\ell}^{2q} \ell_\nu^2} \sum_{m,n} m_\nu^2 R(z, m/\ell) R(z, n/\ell) \overline{R}(z, (m+n)/\ell)\,, \qquad \text{(B.15)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$\overline{R}(z,v) \equiv \frac{1-\exp\{-r(z,v)\}}{r(z,v)} \,. \tag{B.16}$$

The computation of all terms is too lengthy to present here. The terms $\overline{W}^{(4)}, \overline{W}^{(5)}, \overline{W}^{(6)}$ have poles at $D=4$ which are separated analytically. The remaining integrals over the t_i continued to $D=4$ are computable using e.g. NAGLIB routines.

Note that the singularities as $M \to 0$ in $\overline{W}(M)$ come from the terms $p=0$ in the propagators at finite volume. Our finite volume zero mass propagators exclude the zero mode.

C Some useful relations involving the lattice propagator

Some other useful relations are:

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\hat{0}) &= I_{10} - \frac{1}{2} I_{11} \,, \\
G(\hat{k}) &= I_{10} + \frac{1}{2d_s} \left(I_{11} - I_{00}\right) \,, \\
\partial_0 G(0) &= -\frac{1}{2} I_{11} \,, \\
\partial_0^* G(0) &= \frac{1}{2} I_{11} \,, \\
\partial_k G(0) &= -\frac{1}{2d_s} \left(I_{00} - I_{11}\right) \,, \\
\partial_k^* G(0) &= \frac{1}{2d_s} \left(I_{00} - I_{11}\right) \,, \\
\Box_0 G(0) &= -I_{11} \,, \\
\Box G(0) &= -I_{00} \,, \\
\partial_0^2 G(0) &= -I_{11} + \frac{1}{2} I_{12} \\
\partial_k^2 G(0) &= \frac{1}{d_s} \left(-I_{00} + I_{11} - \frac{1}{2} I_{12} + \frac{1}{2} J_{10}\right) \,, \\
\partial_0^* \partial_k G(0) &= -\frac{1}{4d_s} \left(I_{01} - I_{12}\right) \,, \\
\partial_0 \Box_0 G(0) &= \frac{1}{2} I_{12} \,, \\
\partial_0^* \partial_k^2 G(0) &= \frac{1}{2d_s} \left(-I_{01} + I_{12} - \frac{1}{2} I_{13} + \frac{1}{2} J_{11}\right) \,, \\
\Box_0^2 G(0) &= I_{12} \,, \\
\Box \Box_0 G(0) &= I_{01} \,. \\
\partial_0^* \partial_0^* \partial_k^2 G(0) &= \frac{1}{d_s} \left(I_{01} - I_{12} - \frac{1}{2} I_{02} + I_{13} - \frac{1}{4} I_{14} - \frac{1}{2} J_{11} + \frac{1}{4} J_{12}\right) \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

D Modified Bessel function

For integer order n the modified Bessel function can be calculated by

$$I_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!(n+k)!} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2k+n} . \tag{D.1}$$

For $n \gg x$ one has

$$I_n(x) \sim \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^n \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \left(\frac{\mathrm{e}x}{2n}\right)^n . \tag{D.2}$$

For $\nu =$ fixed, $x \to \infty$:

$$\mathrm{e}^{-x} I_\nu(x) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{a_k(\nu)}{x^k} \tag{D.3}$$

where

$$a_k(\nu) = \frac{(4\nu^2-1)(4\nu^2-3^2)\dots(4\nu^2-(2k-1)^2)}{k!\,8^k} . \tag{D.4}$$

For $n = 0$ one has

$$I_0(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k!)^2} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2k} , \tag{D.5}$$

and for $x \to \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}^{-x} I_0(x) &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(2k)!}{2^k (k!)^2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{k!(8x)^k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{8x} + \frac{9}{128x^2} + \dots\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{D.6}$$

A uniform expansion for $I_\nu(\nu x)$ is $\nu \to \infty$

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\nu x} I_\nu(\nu x) \sim \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\nu\rho}}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}\,(1+x^2)^{1/4}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{U_k(p)}{\nu^k} , \tag{D.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U_0(p) &= 1 , \\ U_1(p) &= \frac{1}{24}(3p - 5p^3) , \\ U_2(p) &= \frac{1}{1152}(81p^2 - 462p^4 + 385p^6) , \end{aligned} \tag{D.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \sqrt{1+x^2} - x + \ln\left(\frac{x}{1+\sqrt{1+x^2}}\right) , \\ p &= (1+x^2)^{-1/4} . \end{aligned} \tag{D.9}$$

Eq. (D.7) can also be regarded as asymptotic expansion for $x \to \infty$, $\nu =$ fixed.

For large x one has $\rho = -1/(2x) + \mathrm{O}\left(x^{-3}\right)$. This shows that for $x_n \lesssim n^\alpha$ with $\alpha < 2$ for $n \to \infty$ $\mathrm{e}^{-x_n} I_n(x_n)$ decreases exponentially fast. Therefore

$$N^{\alpha/2} \left[Q_N\left(xN^\alpha\right) - \phi_0\left(xN^\alpha\right)\right] = \mathrm{O}\left(\exp(-N^{2-\alpha}/x)\right) , \qquad 0 \le \alpha < 2 . \tag{D.10}$$

Using (D.6) one obtains in the large N limit a simple scaling function,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N^{\alpha/2} Q_N\left(xN^{\alpha}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi x}}\,, \qquad 0<\alpha<2\,. \tag{D.11}$$

For $\alpha = 2$ this is not true any more, and according to (6.29) one obtains a non-trivial scaling function

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N Q_N\left(xN^2\right) = S(4\pi x)\,. \tag{D.12}$$

Summarizing one has three different scaling regimes

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} N^{\alpha/2} Q_N\left(xN^{\alpha}\right) = \begin{cases} \phi_0(x)\,, & \alpha = 0\,, \\ 1/\sqrt{4\pi x} & 0<\alpha<2\,, \\ S(4\pi x)\,, & \alpha = 2\,. \end{cases} \tag{D.13}$$

References

[1] F. Niedermayer and P. Weisz, *Matching effective chiral Lagrangians with dimensional and lattice regularizations*, *JHEP04* (2016) 110 [arXiv:1601.00614]

[2] P. Hasenfratz and H. Leutwyler, *Goldstone boson related finite size effects in field theory and critical phenomena with O(n) symmetry*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **343** (1990) 241.

[3] J. Bijnens, *Sunset integrals at finite volume*, *PoS LATTICE2013* (2014) 112 [arXiv:1310.0350 [hep-lat]].

[4] J. Bijnens, E. Boström and T. A. Lähde, *Two-loop sunset integrals at finite volume*, *JHEP* **1401** (2014) 019 [arXiv:1311.3531 [hep-lat]].

[5] M. Lüscher, P. Weisz and U. Wolff, *A Numerical method to compute the running coupling in asymptotically free theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **359** (1991) 221.

[6] P. Hasenfratz, *The QCD rotator in the chiral limit*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **828** (2010) 201 [arXiv:0909.3419 [hep-th]]

[7] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, *Efficient numerical techniques for perturbative lattice gauge theory computations*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **266** (1986) 309.

[8] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, *Coordinate space methods for the evaluation of Feynman diagrams in lattice field theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **445** (1995) 429.

[9] D-S. Shin, *Application of a coordinate space method for the evaluation of lattice Feynman diagrams in two-dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B525** (1998) 457.

[10] S. Necco and R. Sommer, *The $N(f) = 0$ heavy quark potential from short to intermediate distances*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B622** (2002) 328.

[11] P. Hasenfratz and F. Niedermayer, *Finite size and temperature effects in the AF Heisenberg model*, *Z. Phys. B* **92** (1993) 91.

[12] J. M. Borwein and P. B. Borwein, *Pi and the AGM: a study in analytic number theory and computational complexity*, *New York: Wiley* 1987 p. 291.